arXiv:1608.03994v2 [math-ph] 30 Sep 2016

WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE KADOMTSEV-PETVIASHVILI HIERARCHY, MULASE FACTORIZATION, AND FRÖLICHER LIE GROUPS

JEAN-PIERRE MAGNOT[1] AND ENRIQUE G. REYES[2]

Abstract. We recall the notions of Frölicher and diffeological spaces and we build regular Frölicher Lie groups and Lie algebras of formal pseudo-differential operators in one independent variable. Combining these constructions with a smooth version of Mulase's deep algebraic factorization of infinite dimensional groups based on formal pseudo-differential operators, we present two proofs of the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy in a smooth category. We also generalize these results to a KP hierarchy modelled on formal pseudo-differential operators with coefficients which are series in formal parameters, we describe a rigorous derivation of the Hamiltonian interpretation of the KP hierarchy, and we discuss how solutions depending on formal parameters can lead to sequences of functions converging to a class of solutions of the standard KP-I equation.

Keywords: KP hierarchy, Mulase factorization, Frölicher Lie groups and Lie algebras; Well-posedness.
MSC(2010): 35Q51; 37K10; 37K25; 37K30

1. Introduction

In the 1980's M. Mulase published two fundamental papers on the algebraic structure and formal integrability properties of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy, see [35, 36]. A common theme in these papers was the use of a powerful theorem on the factorization of a group of formal pseudo-differential operators of infinite order which integrated the algebra of formal pseudo-differential operators: this factorization —a delicate algebraic generalization of the Birkhoff decomposition of loop groups appearing for example in [20, 42]— allowed him to *solve* the Cauchy problem for the KP hierarchy in an algebraic context.

In this paper we adapt Mulase's results and constructions to a rigorous setting suitable for Analysis, and we prove well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the KP hierarchy in a smooth category. In fact, we provide two solutions for the Cauchy problem. One is modelled after the theory of r-matrices [43, 46], and the other is based on an infinite-dimensional version of the Ambrose-Singer theorem [30, 31]. As our smooth category we choose the setting of regular Frölicher Lie groups and algebras, see [22], which is not too different from the so-called convenient setting described in the same reference. This context allows us to construct genuine Lie groups and Lie algebras structures out of spaces of formal pseudo-differential operators.

It is well-known that endowing spaces of formal pseudo-differential operators with rigorous manifold structures derived from topological structures on infinite dimensional vector spaces, is a non-trivial issue. For example, we may recall that

there is no natural Lie group attached to the algebra of differential operators on S^1 (see [24, Sect. 4], [25, II.4.4], or [20, Chp. 10]), and that the manifolds modelled on "direct limit of ILH algebras" appearing in [2, Section 5] possess underlying topological structures which need to be treated with extreme care, since there appear, e.g., locally convex topological vector spaces which are not complete. We need groups such as the one mentioned above in order to establish a smooth version of Mulase's factorization, our main tool for proving well-posedness. Our constructions in a Frölicher setting are indeed flexible and user-friendly enough so that they allow us to distinguish clearly which properties depend on smoothness or on topology. In our approach via Frölicher Lie groups, properties where topology appears, such as integration, are clearly delimited. On the other hand, properties which we obtain via differentiation, which are numerous, are also explicitly described. Moreover, see Remark 2.6, our chosen approach is compatible with more standard settings, in the sense that smoothness in the Frölicher category coincides with smoothness in the (restricted) category of the (c^∞-)convenient setting [22] and with Gateaux smoothness for Fréchet manifolds. These facts allow us to carry out, without using topological arguments which could lead us to restricting the field of applications, explicit computations leading, for example, to the announced smooth version of Mulase's factorization, to solving the Cauchy problem and to a rigorous hamiltonian formulation for the KP hierarchy. They also allow us to examine explicit examples: motivated by the theory of pseudo-differential operators with rough coefficients, see [32], we propose a deformed KP hierarchy and we prove that its Cauchy problem can be solved. Finally, we also compare briefly these solutions to deformed KP to those described in [34, 47] for KP-I equation.

Our paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is a summary of the necessary notions in the theory of diffeological and of Frölicher spaces which we will use in this work, and it is also a contribution to the theory of regular Frölicher Lie groups and Lie algebras, as we now explain. We introduce and study the main properties of Souriau's diffeological spaces and Frölicher spaces in the first five subsections of Section 2. Then, we introduce diffeological groups and the more refined notion of Frölicher Lie groups. Interestingly, it is not obvious how to define tangent spaces –let alone Lie algebra– of a diffeological group. We do it in detail and we prove (see Proposition 2.20) that in fact they are diffeological vector spaces. This proposition is a precise version of a result announced in [27]. We then consider (regular) Frölicher Lie groups and (regular) Frölicher Lie algebras. In the context of Lie groups modelled on e.g. Fréchet spaces, this notion has led to many investigations, see [2, 3, 12, 17, 19, 29, 39, 40], and also [37] for an overview of presently open problems. We discuss regularity in subsection 2.6 and 2.7, and we answer a question raised by Kriegl and Michor in [22] (after Omori [39]) on the existence of a non-regular (in a precise sense appearing in 2.7 below) Lie group. We finish Section 2 with a summary of the theory of principal fiber bundles and the Ambrose-Singer theorem in the context of diffeological spaces after [30]. We will need these tools for our second proof of the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of the KP hierarchy.

Section 3 is on the algebra of formal pseudo-differential operators in one independent variable and its "integration" to a regular Frölicher Lie group. We begin with a review of some aspects of Mulase's work including his factorization theorem, see [35, 36] and a more recent review [15], and then we adapt his constructions so

as to obtain a regular Frölicher Lie group $G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ of infinite order formal pseudo-differential operators, with regular Frölicher Lie algebra. This Lie algebra is given by formal pseudo-differential operators with appropriate coefficients which are reminiscent of Mulase's choice of "time-dependent" coefficients. These constructions allow us to prove a smooth version of Mulase's factorization theorem, our main tool in the solution of the Cauchy problem for the KP hierarchy.

The actual analysis of the Cauchy problem for the KP hierarchy in a smooth category is carried out in Section 4. As announced, we present two proofs of well-posedness: one uses an infinite-dimensional version of the Reyman–Semenov-Tian-Shansky integration theorem, see [43], and the other uses infinite-dimensional principal bundles and the version of the Ambrose-Singer theorem given in Section 2. Our proofs are much enriched versions of previous work by the authors, see [15, 30]: in [15] is shown that the Reyman–Semenov-Tian-Shansky integration theorem yields formally solutions to the equations of the KP hierarchy via Mulase's factorization theorem, but no comments are made in that paper with respect to the existence of smooth solutions. On the other hand, the second proof builds upon tools developed for the study of a q-deformed version of the KP hierarchy in [30, 31], and completes these two works.

The KP hierarchy with formal series coefficients, with emphasis on solutions which are functions with low regularity, is considered on its own right in Section 5. We argue that the deformed initial data is not only an appropriate tool for the analysis of standard KP, but also that it is a natural way to study some dynamical systems with rough initial data. In fact, we expect that our work can be used to investigate actual existence of conservation laws or blow-up phenomena. We also consider in this section the hamiltonian structure of the KP and deformed KP hierarchies, extending to a smooth setting the approach of [15]. This structure has been studied before for non deformed KP hierarchy and functions in $C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ (see [49, 50, 11, 25]), but we propose a general non-formal version valid in our smooth category of Frölicher spaces. As a final remark, we compare the solutions to the KP-I equation deduced from our solutions to the *deformed* KP hierarchy with the ones considered in [34, 47].

2. Preliminaries on diffeological Lie groups

2.1. **Souriau's diffeological spaces and Frölicher spaces.**

Definition 2.1. [48], see e.g. [21]. Let X be a set.
• A **p-parametrization** of dimension p (or p-plot) on X is a map from an open subset O of $\mathbb{R}^p$ to X.
• A **diffeology** on X is a set $\mathcal{P}$ of parametrizations on X, called plots of the diffeology, such that, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

- any constant map $\mathbb{R}^p \to X$ is in $\mathcal{P}$;

- Let I be an arbitrary set of indexes; let $\{f_i : O_i \to X\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of compatible maps that extend to a map $f : \bigcup_{i\in I} O_i \to X$. If $\{f_i : O_i \to X\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathcal{P}$, then $f \in \mathcal{P}$.

- Let $f \in \mathcal{P}$, defined on $O \subset \mathbb{R}^p$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$, O' an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^q$ and g a smooth map (in the usual sense) from O' to O. Then, $f \circ g \in \mathcal{P}$.

• If $\mathcal{P}$ is a diffeology on X, then $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is called a **diffeological space**.

Let $(X,\mathcal{P})$ and $(X',\mathcal{P}')$ be two diffeological spaces; a map $f:X\to X'$ is **differentiable** (=smooth) if and only if $f\circ\mathcal{P}\subset\mathcal{P}'$.

Remark 2.2. Any diffeological space $(X,\mathcal{P})$ can be endowed with the weakest topology such that all the maps that belong to $\mathcal{P}$ are continuous. We do not dwell deeper on this fact in this work because it is not closely related to the main themes of this paper.

We now introduce Frölicher spaces, see [16], using the terminology defined in [22].

Definition 2.3. • A **Frölicher** space is a triple $(X,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C})$ such that
- $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of paths $\mathbb{R}\to X$,
- $\mathcal{F}$ is the set of functions from X to $\mathbb{R}$, such that a function $f:X\to\mathbb{R}$ is in $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if for any $c\in\mathcal{C}$, $f\circ c\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$;
- A path $c:\mathbb{R}\to X$ is in $\mathcal{C}$ (i.e. is a **contour**) if and only if for any $f\in\mathcal{F}$, $f\circ c\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$.

• Let $(X,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C})$ and $(X',\mathcal{F}',\mathcal{C}')$ be two Frölicher spaces; a map $f:X\to X'$ is **differentiable** (=smooth) if and only if $\mathcal{F}'\circ f\circ\mathcal{C}\subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$.

Any family of maps $\mathcal{F}_g$ from X to $\mathbb{R}$ generates a Frölicher structure $(X,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C})$ by setting, after [22]:
- $\mathcal{C}=\{c:\mathbb{R}\to X \text{ such that } \mathcal{F}_g\circ c\subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})\}$
- $\mathcal{F}=\{f:X\to\mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f\circ\mathcal{C}\subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})\}$.

In this case we call $\mathcal{F}_g$ a **generating set of functions** for the Frölicher structure $(X,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C})$. One easily see that $\mathcal{F}_g\subset\mathcal{F}$. This notion will be useful in the sequel to describe in a simple way a Frölicher structure, see for instance Proposition 2.16 below. A Frölicher space $(X,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C})$ carries a natural topology, which is the pull-back topology of $\mathbb{R}$ via $\mathcal{F}$. We note that in the case of a finite dimensional differentiable manifold X we can take $\mathcal{F}$ the set of all smooth maps from X to $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{C}$ the set of all smooth paths from $\mathbb{R}$ to X. In this case the underlying topology of the Frölicher structure is the same as the manifold topology [22]. In the infinite dimensional case, there is to our knowledge no complete study of the relation between the Frölicher topology and the manifold topology; our intuition is that these two topologies can differ.

We also remark that if $(X,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C})$ is a Frölicher space, we can define a natural diffeology on X by using the following family of maps f defined on open domains $D(f)$ of Euclidean spaces (see [28]):

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{F})=\coprod_{p\in\mathbb{N}}\{\,f:D(f)\to X;\,\mathcal{F}\circ f\in C^\infty(D(f),\mathbb{R})\quad\text{(in the usual sense)}\}.$$

If X is a differentiable manifold, this diffeology has been called the **nébuleuse diffeology** by J.-M. Souriau, see [48]. We can easily show the following:

Proposition 2.4. [28] *Let$(X,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C})$ and $(X',\mathcal{F}',\mathcal{C}')$ be two Frölicher spaces. A map $f:X\to X'$ is smooth in the sense of Frölicher if and only if it is smooth for the underlying diffeologies $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{F}')$.*

Thus, we can also state:

$$\text{smooth manifold}\quad\Rightarrow\quad\text{Frölicher space}\quad\Rightarrow\quad\text{Diffeological space}$$

A deeper analysis of these implications has been given in [51]. The next remark is inspired on this work and on [28]; it is based on [22, p.26, Boman's theorem].

Remark 2.5. We notice that the set of contours $\mathcal{C}$ of the Frölicher space $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ **does not** give us a diffeology, because a diffelogy needs to be stable under restriction of domains. In the case of paths in $\mathcal{C}$ the domain is always $\mathbb{R}$. However, $\mathcal{C}$ defines a "minimal diffeology" $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{F})$ whose plots are smooth parameterizations which are locally of the type $c \circ g$, where $g \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Within this setting, we can replace $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ by $\mathcal{P}_1$ in Proposition 2.4.

We also remark that given an algebraic structure, we can define a corresponding compatible diffeological structure. For example, a $\mathbb{R}-$vector space equipped with a diffeology is called a diffeological vector space if addition and scalar multiplication are smooth (with respect to the canonical diffeology on $\mathbb{R}$). An analogous definition holds for Frölicher vector spaces. Other examples will arise in the rest of the text.

Remark 2.6. Frölicher, c^∞ and Gateaux smoothness are the same notion if we restrict to a Fŕchet context, see [22, Theorem 4.11]. Indeed, for a smooth map $f : (F, \mathcal{P}_1(F)) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on a Fréchet space with its 1-dimensional diffeology, we have that $\forall (x, h) \in F^2$, the map $t \mapsto f(x + th)$ is smooth as a classical map in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. And hence, it is Gateaux smooth. The converse is obvious.

Definition 2.7 (Smooth Homotopy)**.** Let X and Y be diffeological spaces and let $f_i \colon X \to Y$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$ be smooth maps. f_0 and f_1 are **(smoothly) homotopic** if there is a smooth map $H \colon X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ such that $H(\cdot, 0) = f_0$ and $H(\cdot, 1) = f_1$. We call the map H a **smooth homotopy**.

This definition enables us to define straightforwardly smooth homotopy equivalences, fundamental groups and related objects, see [21] for details.

2.2. **Differential forms.**

Definition 2.8. [48] Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a diffeological space and let V be a vector space equipped with a differentiable structure. A $V-$valued $n-$differential form α on X (noted $\alpha \in \Omega^n(X, V)$) is a map

$$\alpha : \{p : O_p \to X\} \in \mathcal{P} \mapsto \alpha_p \in \Omega^n(O_p; V)$$

such that

• Let $x \in X$. $\forall (p, p') \in \mathcal{P}^2$ such that $x \in Im(p) \cap Im(p')$, the forms α_p and $\alpha_{p'}$ are of the same order n.

• Let $y \in O_p$ and $y' \in O_{p'}$, and assume that $(X_1, ..., X_n)$ are n germs of paths in $Im(p) \cap Im(p')$. If there exists two systems of $n-$vectors $(Y_1, ..., Y_n) \in (T_y O_p)^n$ and $(Y'_1, ..., Y'_n) \in (T_{y'} O_{p'})^n$ such that $p_*(Y_1, ..., Y_n) = p'_*(Y'_1, ..., Y'_n) = (X_1, ..., X_n)$, then

$$\alpha_p(Y_1, ..., Y_n) = \alpha_{p'}(Y'_1, ..., Y'_n)\,.$$

We note by

$$\Omega(X; V) = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega^n(X, V)$$

the set of $V-$valued differential forms.

We make two remarks for the reader:

• If there do not exist n linearly independent vectors $(Y_1, ..., Y_n)$ as in the last point of the definition, then $\alpha_p = 0$ at y.

• Let $(\alpha, p, p') \in \Omega(X,V) \times \mathcal{P}^2$. If there exists $g \in C^\infty(D(p); D(p'))$ (in the usual sense) such that $p' \circ g = p$, then $\alpha_p = g^* \alpha_{p'}$.

Proposition 2.9. *The set $\mathcal{P}(\Omega^n(X,V))$ made of maps $q : x \mapsto \alpha(x)$ from an open subset O_q of a finite dimensional vector space to $\Omega^n(X,V)$ such that for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$,*

$$\{x \mapsto \alpha_p(x)\} \in C^\infty(O_q, \Omega^n(O_p, V)),$$

is a diffeology on $\Omega^n(X,V)$.

Working on plots of the diffeology, one can define the product and the differential of differential forms, which have the same properties as the product and the differential of differential forms.

Definition 2.10. Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a diffeological space.
• $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is **finite-dimensional** if and only if

$$\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow dim(\Omega^n(X, \mathbb{R})) = 0.$$

Then, we set

$$dim(X, \mathcal{P}) = max\{n \in \mathbb{N} | dim(\Omega^n(X, \mathbb{R})) > 0\}.$$

• If not, $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is called **infinite dimensional**.

Let us make a few remarks on this definition. If X is a manifold with $dim(X) = n$, the nébuleuse diffeology is such that

$$dim(X, \mathcal{P}_\infty) = n.$$

Now, if $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is the natural Frölicher structure on X, take $\mathcal{P}_1$ defined as before. It is an easy exercise to show that

$$dim(X, \mathcal{P}_1) = 1,$$

and therefore the dimension depends on the diffeology considered. Now, we remark that $\mathcal{F}$ is not only the set of smooth maps $(X, \mathcal{P}_1) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}))$, but also the set of smooth maps $(X, \mathcal{P}_1) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}))$, and also the set of smooth maps $(X, \mathcal{P}_\infty) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}))$. These observations follow from adapting arguments of [28] based on Boman's theorem as it appears in [22], see e.g. [51].

We are led to the following definition, since $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ is clearly the diffeology with the biggest dimension associated to $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$:

Definition 2.11. The **dimension** of a Frölicher space $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is the dimension of the diffeological space $(X, \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{F}))$.

2.3. **Tangent space.** There are actually two main definitions, (2) and (3) below, of the tangent space of a diffeological space:

(1) the **internal tangent cone** [14]. For each $x \in X$, we consider

$$C_x = \{c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X) | c(0) = x\}$$

and take the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ given by

$$c \mathcal{R} c' \Leftrightarrow \forall f \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}), \partial_t (f \circ c)|_{t=0} = \partial_t (f \circ c')|_{t=0}.$$

The internal tangent cone at x is the quotient

$$^i T_x X = C_x / \mathcal{R}.$$

If $X = \partial_t c(t)|_{t=0} \in {}^i T_X$, we define the simplified notation

$$Df(X) = \partial_t (f \circ c)|_{t=0}.$$

(2) The **internal tangent space** at $x \in X$ is the vector space generated by the internal tangent cone [8]
(3) the **external** tangent space ${}^eTX$, defined as the set of derivations on $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$. [22, 21].

It is shown in [14] that the internal tangent cone at a point x is not a vector space in many examples. This motivates [8]. For finite dimensional manifold, definitions (1), (2) and (3) coincide.

2.4. **Push-forward, quotient and subsets.** We give here only the results that will be used in the sequel.

Proposition 2.12. [48, 21] *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a diffeological space, and let X' be a set. Let $f : X \to X'$ be a map. We define the **push-forward diffeology** as the coarsest (i.e. the smallest for inclusion) among the diffologies on X', which contains $f \circ \mathcal{P}$.*

We have now the tools needed to describe the diffeology on a quotient:

Proposition 2.13. *let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ b a diffeological space and $\mathcal{R}$ an equivalence relation on X. Then, there is a natural diffeology on $X/\mathcal{R}$, noted by $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{R}$, defined as the push-forward diffeology on $X/\mathcal{R}$ by the quotient projection $X \to X/\mathcal{R}$.*

Given a subset $X_0 \subset X$, where X is a Frölicher space or a diffeological space, we can define on trace structure on X_0, induced by X.

• If X is equipped with a diffeology $\mathcal{P}$, we can define a diffeology $\mathcal{P}_0$ on X_0, called **subset diffeology** [48, 21] setting

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{p \in \mathcal{P} \text{ such that the image of } p \text{ is a subset of } X_0\}.$$

• If $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is a Frölicher space, we take as a generating set of maps $\mathcal{F}_g$ on X_0 the restrictions of the maps $f \in \mathcal{F}$. In that case, the contours (resp. the induced diffeology) on X_0 are the contours (resp. the plots) on X which image is a subset of X_0.

2.5. **Cartesian products and projective limits.** We review the differentiable structure of products and projective limits since they are crucial for our discussion of Frölicher Lie groups of formal pseudo-differential operators. We begin with the following important proposition (see [48]):

Proposition 2.14. *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ and $(X', \mathcal{P}')$ be two diffeological spaces. There exists a diffeology $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}'$ on $X \times X'$ made of plots $g : O \to X \times X'$ that decompose as $g = f \times f'$, where $f : O \to X \in \mathcal{P}$ and $f' : O \to X' \in \mathcal{P}'$. We call it the **product diffeology**, and this construction extends to an infinite product.*

We apply this result to the case of Frölicher spaces and we derive very easily, (compare with e.g. [22]) the following:

Proposition 2.15. *Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ and $(X', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{C}')$ be two Frölicher spaces equipped with their natural diffeologies $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$. There is a natural structure of Frölicher space on $X \times X'$ which contours $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}'$ are the 1-plots of $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}'$.*

We can even state the result above for the case of infinite products; we simply take cartesian products of the plots or of the contours. Let us now give the description of what happens for projective limits of Frölicher and diffeological spaces.

Proposition 2.16. *Let Λ be an infinite set of indexes which can even be uncountable.*

• *Let $\{(X_\alpha, \mathcal{P}_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be a family of diffeological spaces indexed by Λ totally ordered for inclusion, with $(i_{\beta,\alpha} : X_\alpha \to X_\beta)_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda^2}$ the family of inclusion maps which are assumed smooth maps. If $X = \bigcap_{\alpha\in\Lambda} X_\alpha$, then X carries the* ***projective diffeology*** *$\mathcal{P}$ which is the pull-back of the diffeologies $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ of each X_α via the family of inclusion maps $(f_\alpha : X \to X_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$. The diffeology $\mathcal{P}$ is made of plots $g : O \to X$ such that for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$,*

$$f_\alpha \circ g \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha.$$

This is the biggest diffeology for which the maps f_α are smooth.

• *We have the same kind of property for Frölicher spaces: let $\{(X_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\alpha, \mathcal{C}_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be a family of Frölicher spaces indexed by Λ, a non-empty set totally ordered for inclusion. With the sae notations,there is a natural structure of Frölicher space on $X = \bigcap_{\alpha\in\Lambda} X_\alpha$, for which the corresponding contours*

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_{\alpha\in\Lambda} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$$

are some 1-plots of $\mathcal{P} = \bigcap_{\alpha\in\Lambda} \mathcal{P}_\alpha$. A generating set of functions for this Frölicher space is the set of maps of the type:

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_\alpha \circ f_\alpha.$$

2.6. **Regular Frölicher Lie groups.**

Definition 2.17. Let G be a group, equiped with a diffeology $\mathcal{P}$. We call it **diffeological group** if both multiplication and inversion are smooth.

The same definitions hold for Frölicher groups. Following Iglesias-Zemmour, [21], who does not assert that arbitrary diffeological groups have a Lie algebra, we give conditions for the existence of such a tangent space at the neutral element. We give the following definition:

Definition 2.18. The diffeological group G is a **diffeological Lie group** if and only if ${}^iT_eG$ is a vector space and if the derivative of the Adjoint action of G on ${}^iT_eG$ defines a Lie bracket. In this case, we call ${}^iT_eG$ the Lie algebra of G, that we note generically $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let us precise the algebraic, diffeological and Frölicher structures of $\mathfrak{g}$. This section is mostly inspired on [30], see e.g. [31].

Remark 2.19. Let G be a diffeological Lie group, then $\mathfrak{g} = \{\partial_t c(0); c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $c(0) = e_G\}$ is the space of germs of paths at e_G. Moreover,

- Let $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{g}^2$, $X + Y = \partial_t(c.d)(0)$ where $c, d \in \mathcal{C}^2$, $c(0) = d(0) = e_G$, $X = \partial_t c(0)$ and $Y = \partial_t d(0)$.
- Let $(X, g) \in \mathfrak{g} \times G$, $Ad_g(X) = \partial_t(gcg^{-1})(0)$ where $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $c(0) = e_G$, and $X = \partial_t c(0)$.
- Let $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{g}^2$, $[X, Y] = \partial_t(Ad_{c(t)}Y)$ where $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $c(0) = e_G$, $X = \partial_t c(0)$.

All these operations are smooth and thus well-defined as operations on Frölicher spaces.

Let us now precise the statement and proof of [27, Proposition 1.6.].

Proposition 2.20. *Let G be a diffeological group. Then the tangent cone at the neutral element T_eG is a diffeological vector space.*

Proof. Let $(X,Y) \in {}^iT_eG$, $X = \partial_t x(t)|_{t=0}$ and $Y = \partial_t y(t)|_{t=0}$ From [14] and [27], see e.g. [21], this is a cone, and we only have to complete the proof of [27] who invokes "classical arguments" to show that

$$X + Y = \partial_t(x(t).y(t))|_{t=0}$$

is a vector space. First, we remark that

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda X + \lambda Y = \partial_t(x(\lambda t).y(\lambda t)) = \lambda \partial_t(x(t).y(t)) = \lambda(X+Y).$$

Thus, we only have to prove that $X + Y = Y + X$, that is

$$\partial_t(x(t).y(t))|_{t=0} = \partial_t(y(t).x(t))|_{t=0}.$$

For this, we assume that G is equipped with its nebuleuse diffeology $\mathcal{P}_\infty$, that is, the diffeology made of smooth maps $p : D(p) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \to G$ where $D(p)$ is equipped wth the diffeology $\mathcal{P}_1(D(p))$ generated by

$$\big\{\gamma \in C^\infty(]a,b[, D(p)) \text{ (in the usual sense)} \mid (a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \wedge a < b\big\} \cup \{\{0\} \to G\}.$$

For this diffeology $\mathcal{P}_\infty$, the group mutiplication and inversion is also smooth, since it is based on smooth paths. This comes from the non easy theorem [22, Boman's theorem], which implies that multiplication and inversion are smooth with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ if an only if they are smooth with respect to $\mathcal{P}_1(G)$, using the obvious notations. We need this precision in order to solve the problem of the dimension of the diffeological space [21], but this assumption will be relaxed at the end of the proof.

First step: $\partial_t x^{-1}(t)|_{t=0} = (-1).\partial_t x(t)|_{t=0}$.

If $y(t) = (x(t))^{-1}$, then $x(t).y(t) = e$, $X + Y = 0$, and we get the same way that $Y + X = 0$. Let $-X$ denotes the scalar multiplication $(-1).X$ For any $f \in C^\infty(G, \mathbb{R})$,

$$Df((-X) + X + Y) = \partial_t f(x(-t)x(t)y(t)).$$

Let a, b, c be three smooth paths such that $a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = e$. Then the map

$$\Phi_3 : (t_1, t_2, t_3) \mapsto f(a(t_1)b(t_2)c(t_3)),$$

defined on an open neighborhood of $(0,0,0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, is a (classical) smooth map, and

$$D_{0,0,0}\Phi_3(v_1,v_2,v_3) = \partial_t f \circ a(t)|_{t=0}.v_1 + \partial_t f \circ b(t)|_{t=0}.v_2 + \partial_t f \circ c(t)|_{t=0}.v_3.$$

Let us apply this to $a(t) = x(-t)$, $b(t) = x(t)$ and $c(t) = y(t) = (x(t))^{-1}$, ith $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} Df(-X) &= Df(-X) + Df(X+Y) \\ &= \partial_t f \circ x(-t)|_{t=0} + \partial_t f \circ x(t)|_{t=0} + \partial_t f \circ y(t)|_{t=0} \\ &= Df(-X+X) + Df(Y) \\ &= Df(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Y = -X$ when G is equipped with $\mathcal{P}_\infty$.

second step: $X + Y = Y + X$.

Here, y is no longer equal to x^{-1}. Let $f \in C^\infty(G, \mathbb{R})$ and let us define

$$\Phi_4(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) = f(a(t_1)b(t_2)c(t_3)d(t_4)$$

on an open neighborhood of $(0,0,0,0) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, where a, b, c, d are smooth paths such that $a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = d(0) = e$. Then, mimicking the arguments of the first step, Φ_4 is smooth, and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0,0,0,0}\Phi_4(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4) &= \partial_t f \circ a(t)|_{t=0}.v_1 + \partial_t f \circ b(t)|_{t=0}.v_2 \\ &\quad +\partial_t f \circ c(t)|_{t=0}.v_3 + \partial_t f \circ d(t)|_{t=0}.v_4. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = 1$, with $a = x, b = y, c = x^{-1}, d = y^{-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(x(t)y(t)x^{-1}(t)y^{-1}(t)) &= Df(X) + Df(Y) + Df(-X) + Df(-Y) \\ &= Df(X+Y) + (-Df(X+Y)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

on one hand. And on the oter hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(x(t)y(t)x^{-1}(t)y^{-1}(t)) &= Df(X) + Df(Y) + Df(-X) + Df(-Y) \\ &= \partial_t f(x(t)y(t)) + \partial_t f\left(x^{-1}(t)y^{-1}(t)\right) \\ &= \partial_t f(x(t)y(t)) + \partial_t f\left((y(t)x(t))^{-1}\right) \\ &= Df\left((X+Y) + (-(Y+X))\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus in ${}^iTeG$,

$$X + Y = Y + X,$$

and hence ${}^iT_eG$ is a vector space when G is equipped with $\mathcal{P}_\infty$.

Third step: relaxing the nébuleuse diffeology Let $\mathcal{P}_1(G)$ be the diffeology generated by $0-$ and $1-$dimensional plots of $\mathcal{P}$, and let $\mathcal{P}_\infty(G)$ defined as before. The first two steps are based on the point that the maps

$$(t_1,t_2,t_3) \mapsto a(t_1)b(t_2)c(t_3)$$

and

$$(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) \mapsto a(t_1)b(t_2)c(t_3)d(t_4)$$

are plots of the nebuleuse diffeology. But they are also smooth maps for the 1-dimensional diffeology, and hence if $f \in C^\infty((G,\mathcal{P}_1(G)),\mathbb{R})$, the maps Φ_3 and Φ_4 remain smooth, and hence the first and the second step remain valid. Let us now consider $\mathcal{P}$ a diffeology on G such that $(G,\mathcal{P})$ is a diffeological group. Then

$$\mathcal{P}_1(G) \subset \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_\infty(G)$$

and

$$C^\infty((G,\mathcal{P}_1(G)),\mathbb{R}) \supset C^\infty((G,\mathcal{P}),\mathbb{R}) \supset C^\infty((G,\mathcal{P}_\infty(G)),\mathbb{R}).$$

We have, $\forall f \in C^\infty((G,\mathcal{P}_1(G)),\mathbb{R})$, and for each smooth paths $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ in $\mathcal{P}$ and hence in $\mathcal{P}_1(G)$ as above,

$$\partial_t x^{-1}(t)|_{t=0} = (-1).\partial_t x(t)|_{t=0}$$

and

$$Df(X+Y) = Df(Y+X).$$

This is then true for each $f \in C^\infty((G,\mathcal{P}),\mathbb{R})$, which completes the proof. □

We remark that, actually, the condition on the existence of a Lie bracket obtained from differentiation of the adjoint action of the group G on ${}^iT_eG$ cannot be relaxed; in fact, it has to be assumed in order to give a structure of Lie algebra to ${}^iT_eG$. However, if both G and ${}^iT_eG$ are smoothly embedded into a diffeological algebra A, and if group multiplication and ${}^iT_eG-$addition are the pull-back of the operations on A, then the Lie bracket exists and is defined by the standard relations

$$[u, v] = uv - vu \tag{2.1}$$

as long as ${}^iT_eG$ is stable under (2.1). We can therefore ask the following natural question, perhaps reminiscent of Hilbert's classical problem on the relation between topological groups and Lie groups:

Does there exist a diffeological (or Frölicher) group
which is not a diffeological (or Frölicher) Lie group ?

Let us now concentrate on diffeological and Frölicher Lie groups, and in this case we note $\mathfrak{g} = {}^iT_eG$. The basic properties of adjoint, coadjoint actions, and of Lie brackets, remain globally the same as in the case of finite-dimensional Lie groups, and the proofs are similar: we only need to replace charts by plots of the underlying diffeologies (see e.g. [27] for further details, and [5] for the case of Frölicher Lie groups), as soon as one has checked that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a diffeological Lie algebra, i.e. a diffeological vector space with smooth Lie bracket.

Definition 2.21. A Frölicher Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called **regular** if and only if there is a smooth map

$$Exp : C^\infty([0;1], \mathfrak{g}) \to C^\infty([0,1], G)$$

such that $g(t) = Exp(v(t))$ is the unique solution of the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} g(0) = e \\ \frac{dg(t)}{dt} g(t)^{-1} = v(t) \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

We define the exponential function as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} exp : \mathfrak{g} & \to & G \\ v & \mapsto & exp(v) = g(1) \ , \end{array}$$

where g is the image by Exp of the constant path v.

Under these definitions, we can also define the Riemann integral of smooth $\mathfrak{g}-$valued functions, see [31].

Definition 2.22. Let $(V, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ be a Frölicher vector space, i.e. a vector space V equipped with a Frölicher structure compatible with vector space addition and scalar multiplication. The space $(V, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is **regular** if there is a smooth map

$$\int_0^{(.)} : C^\infty([0;1]; V) \to C^\infty([0;1], V)$$

such that $\int_0^{(.)} v = u$ if and only if u is the unique solution of the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = 0 \\ u'(t) = v(t) \end{cases} .$$

This definition applies, for instance, if V is a complete locally convex topological vector space, equipped with its natural Frölicher structure given by the Frölicher completion of its nébuleuse diffeology, see [21, 28, 30].

Definition 2.23. Let G be a Frölicher Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, G is **regular with regular Lie algebra** if both G and $\mathfrak{g}$ are regular in the sense of definitions 2.21 and 2.22 respectively.

Following the terminology used in the early investigations on infinite dimensional Lie theory, see [39], and [37] for a recent overview, we say that a regular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is **enlargeable** if there exists a Frölicher Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Theorem 2.24. [30] *Let G be a regular Frölicher Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_1$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, and set $G_1 = Exp(C^\infty([0;1];\mathfrak{g}_1))(1)$. If $Ad_{G_1 \cup G_1^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathfrak{g}_1$,*

$$i.e. \quad \forall g \in Exp(C^\infty([0;1];\mathfrak{g}_1))(1), \forall v \in \mathfrak{g}_1, \quad Ad_g v \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \text{ and } Ad_{g^{-1}} v \in \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

then G_1 is a Frölicher subgroup of G.

Now we state two further key results from [30, 31].

Theorem 2.25. *Let $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be a sequence of complete locally convex (Frölicher) vector spaces which are regular, equipped with a graded smooth multiplication operation on $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} A_n$, i.e. a multiplication such that for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $A_n.A_m \subset A_{n+m}$ is smooth with respect to the corresponding Frölicher structures. Let us define the (non unital) algebra of formal series:*

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} a_n | \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, a_n \in A_n \right\},$$

equipped with the Frölicher tructure of the infinite product.

Then, the set

$$1 + \mathcal{A} = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} a_n | \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, a_n \in A_n \right\}$$

is a Frölicher Lie group with regular Frölicher Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

Moreover, the exponential map defines a smooth bijection $\mathcal{A} \to 1 + \mathcal{A}$.

Notation: for each $u \in \mathcal{A}$, we note by $[u]_n$ the A_n-component of u.

Theorem 2.26. *Let*

$$1 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{p} H \longrightarrow 1$$

be an exact sequence of Frölicher Lie groups, such that there is a smooth section $s : H \to G$, and such that the trace diffeology from G on $i(K)$ coincides with the push-forward diffeology from K to $i(K)$. We consider also the corresponding sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k} \xrightarrow{i'} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then,

- *The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are regular if and only if the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is regular;*
- *The Frölicher Lie groups K and H are regular if and only if the Frölicher Lie group G is regular.*

2.7. **Existence of non regular Lie groups.** It is shown in [29], see also [31], that the Frölicher Lie group of increasing (Frölicher) diffeomorphisms of the open interval $Diff_+(]0;1[)$ is non regular in the sense of definition 2.21. The main feature of the proof is that the unit vector field $1_{]0;1[}$ is in the Lie algebra of $Diff_+(]0;1[)$, and that it generates a local flow acting by translations. This local flow cannot be global.

After this example, we can now consider a question raised in [22] on the existence of non regular Lie group. There are two main definitions of regular Lie group. The first one was given by Omori [39, 40], which assumes that equation (2.2) can be solved by a convergent Euler method (details will be given thereafter). These definitions are even given on what Omori calls "generalized Lie groups", which are only topological groups without atlas but with additional structures. We shall say that a group is Omori-regular if the exponential map exists, and if it is the limit of the Euler numerical scheme. The second one given by [22], does not assume this convergence, and does not give any such construction: the only assumption is the existence of a solution to (2.2) without any emphasis on the method used to solve this equation, and the groups considered are $c^\infty-$Lie groups. Definition 2.21 used for Frölicher Lie groups is an adaptation of the one given in [22].

Does there exist any non regular Lie group in the sense of Omori ?

Let us comment more this question. There are actually many groups for which we cannot prove the existence, or the non existence, of the exponential map. For example, the Frölicher Lie group $Diff_+(]0;1[)$, which is not a Lie group because there is no known atlas on it, is not regular. On the other hand, there are groups of units of a ("nice") algebra, modelled on a complete, Mackey complete, locally convex topological vector space, which are proved to be regular [19]. Indeed, the "minimal reasonable setting" for infinite dimensional Lie groups is difficult to determine [37]. Let us now prove that there exists a Lie group, modelled on a locally convex topological vector space, which is not Omori-regular.

Let us consider

$$\mathbb{R}((X)) = \left\{ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n X^n | \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall n < N, a_n = 0 \right\}.$$

$\mathbb{R}((X))$ is a vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{Z}$, where the latter is equipped with its (product) Fréchet topology. $\mathbb{R}((X))$ is a well-known (commutative) field, and hence $\mathbb{R}((X))^* = \mathbb{R}((X)) - \{0\}$. We consider here the definition of Omori of a regular Lie group, adapted to an algebra from [39]:

Definition 2.27. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a topological algebra, for which $\mathcal{A}^*$ is open in $\mathcal{A}$ and it is a Lie group modelled on $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}^*$ is Omori-regular if and only if, for any $v \in C^\infty([0;1],\mathcal{A})$, there is a unique smooth path $g \in C^\infty([0;1],\mathcal{A}^*)$, such that

(1) $g^{-1}dg = v$ (equation on the right logarithmic derivative)
(2) $g(0) = 1$ (initial condition)
(3) $g(t) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{t}{n} v\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)\right)$ (convergence of the Euler method)

We prove the following results:

Proposition 2.28. *$\mathbb{R}((X))^*$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}((X))$, and multiplication and inversion are smooth. As a consequence, $\mathbb{R}((X))^*$ is a Lie group modelled on a locally convex topological vector space.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{R}((X))^* = \mathbb{R}((X))_{\{}0\}$, it is open in $\mathbb{R}((X))$, and hence it is a smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{R}((X))$. Let us now consider multiplication. Let

$$(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n X^n; \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} b_n X^n) \in \mathbb{R}((X))^2.$$

Let $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c_n X^n$ be the product Laurent series. We have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_n = \sum_{i+j=n} a_i b_j,$$

and this sum is well-defined since it has only a finite number of non-zero terms. Moreover, for fixed indexes i and j, the map $(a_i, b_j) \mapsto a_i b_j$ is smooth. So that, for the product Frölicher structure, multiplication is smooth.

Let us now consider inversion. Let $\sum_{n\geq N} a_n X^n \in \mathbb{R}((X))$ where

$$N = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | a_n \neq 0\}.$$

Let $\sum_{n\geq -N} b_n X^n$ the inverse serie. The coefficients of the inverse serie can be calculated by induction with the formula:

$$\sum_{i+j=n} a_i b_j = \delta_{n,0} \quad \text{(Kronecker symbol)}.$$

First, we get $b_{-N} = a_N^{-1}$ and by induction on $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$b_{-N+p} = -a_N^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_{N+p-k} b_{-N+k},$$

which shows that inversion is smooth by the same arguments.

□

Lemma 2.29. *The sequence $\left(1 + \frac{X^{-1}}{n}\right)^n$ has no limit on $\mathbb{R}((X))$.*

Proof. The Frölicher structure makes all the indexwise projections $[.]_m$ on the $m-$th coefficient of the Laurent serie smooth. Let us first remark that for any $m > 0$,

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{X^{-1}}{n}\right)^n\right]_m = 0$$

and that, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{X^{-1}}{n}\right)^n\right]_{-m} = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{m!} \neq 0.$$

Thus this sequence converges in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, but not in $\mathbb{R}((X))$. □

This gives the following theorem:

Theorem 2.30. *$\mathbb{R}((X))^*$ is not regular in the sense of Omori.*

Proof. We have that $X^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}((X))$ so that the constant path

$$t \in [0;1] \mapsto v(t) = X^{-1} \in C^\infty\left([0;1], \mathbb{R}((X))\right).$$

The Euler method gives:

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{t}{n} v\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)\right) = \left(1 + \frac{tX^{-1}}{n}\right)^n.$$

By Lemma 2.29, this sequence does not converge for $t = 1$, and hence $\mathbb{R}((X))$ is not regular in the sense of Omori. □

2.8. **Principal bundles, connections and Ambrose-Singer theorem.** Let P be a diffeological space and let G be a Frölicher Lie group, with a smooth right-action $P \times G \to P$, such that $\forall (p, p', g) \in P \times P \times G$, we have $p.g = p'.g \Rightarrow p = p'$. Let $M = P/G$, equipped with the quotient diffeology. P is called **principal $G-$bundle** with base M. In [21, Article 8.32] Iglesias-Zemmour gives a definition of a connection on a principal G-bundle in terms of paths on the total space P, generalising the classical notion for principal bundles with structure group a finite-dimensional Lie group. From this definition one obtains the usual properties that one expects from a connection (see Remark 2.32).

Definition 2.31. Let G be a diffeological group, and let $\pi \colon P \to X$ be a principal G-bundle. Denote by $\mathrm{Paths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(P)$ the diffeological space of **local paths** (see [21, Article 1.63]). Denote by $tpath(P)$ the **tautological bundle of local paths**

$$tpath(P) := \{(\gamma, t) \in \mathrm{Paths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(P) \times \mathbb{R} \mid t \in D(\gamma)\}.$$

A **diffeological connection** is a smooth map $\theta \colon tpath(P) \to \mathrm{Paths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(P)$ satisfying the following properties for any $(\gamma, t_0) \in tpath(P)$:

(1) the domain of γ equals the domain of $\theta(\gamma, t_0)$,
(2) $\pi \circ \gamma = \pi \circ \theta(\gamma, t_0)$,
(3) $\theta(\gamma, t_0)(t_0) = \gamma(t_0)$,
(4) $\theta(\gamma \cdot g, t_0) = \theta(\gamma, t_0) \cdot g$ for all $g \in G$,
(5) $\theta(\gamma \circ f, s) = \theta(\gamma, f(s)) \circ f$ for any smooth map f from an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ into $D(\gamma)$,
(6) $\theta(\theta(\gamma, t_0), t_0) = \theta(\gamma, t_0)$.

Another formulation of this definition can be found in [30] under the terminology of **path-lifting**.

Remark 2.32. Diffeological connections satisfy many of the usual properties that classical connections on a principal G-bundle (where G is a finite-dimensional Lie group) enjoy; in particular, they admit unique horizontal lifts of paths into the base of a principal bundle [21, Article 8.32], and they pull back by smooth maps [21, Article 8.33].

Proposition 2.33. *Let V be a vector space. G acts smoothly on the right on $\Omega(P, V)$ setting*

$$\forall (g, \alpha) \in \Omega^n(P, V) \times G, \forall p \in \mathcal{P}(P), (g_* \alpha)_{g.p} = \alpha_p \circ (dg^{-1})^n.$$

Proof. G acts smoothly on P so that, if $p \in \mathcal{P}(P)$, $g.p \in \mathcal{P}(P)$. The right action is now well-defined, and the smoothness is trivial.

Definition 2.34. Let $\alpha \in \Omega(P;\mathfrak{g})$. The differential form α is **right-invariant** if and only if, for each $p \in \mathcal{P}(P)$, and for each $g \in G$,

$$\alpha_{g.p} = Ad_{g^{-1}} \circ g_* \alpha_p.$$

Now, let us turn to holonomy. Let $p \in P$ and γ a smooth path in P starting at p. We can now turn to connexions and their holonomy:

Definition 2.35. A **connection** on P is a $\mathfrak{g}-$valued $1-$form θ, right-invariant, such that, for each $v \in \mathfrak{g}$, for any path $c : \mathbb{R} \to G$ such that $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} c(0) & = & e_G \\ \partial_t c(t)|_{t=0} & = & v \end{array} \right.$, and for each $p \in P$,

$$\theta(\partial_t (p.c(t))_{t=0}) = v.$$

Now, let us turn to holonomy. Let $p \in P$ and γ a smooth path in P starting at p, defined on $[0;1]$. Let $H\gamma(t) = \gamma(t)g(t)$ where $g(t) \in C^\infty([0;1];\mathfrak{g})$ is a path satisfying the differential equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \theta\left(\partial_t H\gamma(t)\right) = 0 \\ H\gamma(0) = \gamma(0) \end{array} \right.$$

The first line of the definition is equivalent to the differential equation

$$g^{-1}(t)\partial_t g(t) = -\theta(\partial_t \gamma(t))$$

which is integrable, and the second to the initial condition $g(0) = e_G$. This shows that horizontal lifts are well-defined, as in the case of manifolds. Moreover, the map $H(.)$ defines trivially a diffeological connection. This enables us to consider the holonomy group of the connection. Notice that a straightforward adaptation of the arguments of [28] shows that the holonomy group is invariant (up to conjugation) under the choice of the base point p. Now, we assume that $dim(M) \geq 2$. We fix a connection θ on P.

Definition 2.36. Let $\alpha \in \Omega(P;\mathfrak{g})$ be a $G-$invariant form. Let $\nabla\alpha = d\alpha - \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \alpha]$ be the horizontal derivative of α. We set

$$\Omega = \nabla\theta$$

the curvature of θ.

We now turn to reduction of the structure group.

Theorem 2.37. [30] *We assume that G_1 and G are regular Frölicher groups with regular Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\rho : G_1 \mapsto G$ be an injective morphism of Lie groups. If there exists a connection θ on P, with curvature Ω, such that, for any smooth 1-parameter family Hc_t of horizontal paths starting at p, for any smooth vector fields X, Y in M,*

$$s, t \in [0,1]^2 \quad \to \quad \Omega_{Hc_t(s)}(X,Y) \tag{2.3}$$

is a smooth $\mathfrak{g}_1$-valued map (for the $\mathfrak{g}_1-$ diffeology), and if M is simply connected, then the structure group G of P reduces to G_1, and the connection θ also reduces.

We can now state the announced Ambrose-Singer theorem, using the terminology of [44] for the classification of groups via properties of the exponential map:

Theorem 2.38. [30] *Let P be a principal bundle of basis M with regular Frölicher structure group G with regular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let θ be a connection on P and H the associated diffeological connection.*

(1) *For each $p \in P$, the holonomy group $\mathcal{H}_p^L$ is a diffeological subgroup of G, which does not depend on the choice of p up to conjugation.*
(2) *There exists a second holonomy group H^{red}, $\mathcal{H} \subset H^{red}$, which is the smallest structure group for which there is a subbundle P' to which θ reduces. Its Lie algebra is spanned by the curvature elements, i.e. it is the smallest integrable Lie algebra which contains the curvature elements.*
(3) *If G is a Lie group (in the classical sense) of type I or II, there is a (minimal) closed Lie subgroup $\bar{H}^{red}$ (in the classical sense) such that $H^{red} \subset \bar{H}^{red}$, whose Lie algebra is the closure in $\mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie algebra of H^{red}. $\bar{H}^{red}$ is the smallest closed Lie subgroup of G among the structure groups of closed sub-bundles $\bar{P}'$ of P to which θ reduces.*

From [30] again, we have the following result:

Proposition 2.39. *If the connection θ is flat and M is connected and simply connected, then for any path γ starting at $p \in P$, the map*

$$\gamma \mapsto H\gamma(1)$$

depends only on $\pi(\gamma(1)) \in M$ and defines a global smooth section $M \to P$. Therefore, $P = M \times G$.

Let us precise a little bit more this result (see [22, section 40.2] for an analogous statement in the c^∞ setting):

Theorem 2.40. *Let $(G, \mathfrak{g})$ be a regular Lie group with regular Lie algebra and let X be a simply connected Frölicher space. Let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ such that*

$$d\alpha + [\alpha, \alpha] = 0.$$

Then there exists a smooth map

$$f : X \to G$$

such that

$$df.f^{-1} = \alpha.$$

Moreover, one can move from one solution f to another by applying the Adjoint action of G pointwise in $x \in X$.

We remark that the same theorem holds with the equation

$$d\alpha - [\alpha, \alpha] = 0,$$

changing left logarithmic derivatives for right logarithmic derivatives, and Adjoint action for Coadjoint action. The correspondence between solutions is given by the inverse map $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ on the group $C^\infty(X, G)$.

3. On groups and algebras of formal series and pseudo-differential operators

3.1. **The algebra of formal pseudo-differential operators.** We let A be a commutative $\mathbb{K}-$algebra with unit 1, in which $\mathbb{K}$ is any field of characteristic zero, topologically complete. Let A^* be the group of the units of A, that is, the group of invertible elements of A. We assume that A is equipped with a derivation, that is, with a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map $\partial : A \to A$ satisfying the Leibnitz rule $\partial(f \cdot g) = (\partial f) \cdot g + f \cdot (\partial g)$ for all $f, g \in A$. As usual, we say that an element $f \in A$ is *constant* if $\partial f = 0$.

Let ξ be a formal variable not in A. The *algebra of symbols* over A is the vector space

$$\Psi_\xi(A) = \left\{ P_\xi = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbf{Z}} a_\nu\, \xi^\nu : a_\nu \in A\,,\ a_\nu = 0 \text{ for } \nu \gg 0 \right\}$$

equipped with the associative multiplication $\circ$ given by

$$P_\xi \circ Q_\xi = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{k!}\, \frac{\partial^k P_\xi}{\partial \xi^k}\, \partial^k Q_\xi\ , \tag{3.1}$$

with the prescription that multiplication on the right hand side of (3.1) is standard multiplication of Laurent series in ξ with coefficients in A, see [11, 24, 38]. The algebra A is included in $\Psi_\xi(A)$. (3.1) mirrors the extension of the Leibnitz rule to negative powers of the derivative ∂ as explained, for example, in [38].

The *algebra of formal pseudo-differential operators* over A is the vector space

$$\Psi(A) = \left\{ P = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\nu\, \partial^\nu : a_\nu \in A\,,\ a_\nu = 0 \text{ for } \nu \gg 0 \right\}$$

equipped with the unique multiplication which makes the map $\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\nu\, \xi^\nu \ \mapsto \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\nu\, \partial^\nu$ an algebra homomorphism. The algebra $\Psi(A)$ is associative but not commutative. It becomes a Lie algebra over K if we define, as usual,

$$[P, Q] = P\, Q - Q\, P\ , \tag{3.2}$$

and it is also an example of an infinite dimensional non-commutative Poisson algebra in the sense of Kubo, see [26].

The *order* of $P \neq 0 \in \Psi(A)$, $P = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\nu\, \partial^\nu$, is N if $a_N \neq 0$ and $a_\nu = 0$ for all $\nu > N$. If P is of order N, the coefficient a_N is called the *leading term* or *principal symbol* of P. We note by $\Psi^N(A)$ the vector space of pseudo-differential operators P as above satisfying $k > N \Rightarrow a_k = 0$. We note

$$\Psi^N(A) = \{a \in \Psi(A) | \forall m > N, a_m = 0\}\,.$$

The special case of $\Psi^0(A)$ is of particular interest, since direct computations show that this is an algebra. We note by $\Psi^{0,*}(A)$ its group of units, i.e. the group of invertible elements of $\Psi^0(A)$.

Lemma 3.1.

(1) *If P and Q are formal pseudo-differential operators over A, and the leading terms of P, Q are not divisors of zero in A, then*

$$\text{order}(P\, Q) = \text{order}(P) + \text{order}(Q),$$

and

$$\text{order}([P, Q]) \leq \text{order}(P)\ + \text{order}(Q) - 1\ .$$

In particular, if A is an integral domain, the ring $\Psi(A)$ does not have zero divisors.

(2) *Every non-zero formal pseudo-differential operator P for which its leading term is invertible has an inverse in $\Psi(A)$.*

This lemma is proven for instance in [38]. After [31], we assume now, and till the end of section 3.1, that the algebra A is a **Frölicher algebra**. This means that addition, scalar multiplication and multiplication are smooth, and that inversion is a smooth operation on A^*, in which A^* is equipped with the subset Frölicher

structure. We also assume that ∂ is smooth. Then, identifying a formal operator $a \in \Psi(A)$ with its sequence of partial symbols, $\Psi(A)$, as a linear subspace of $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, carries a natural Frölicher structure, and we obtain the following special case of [31, Proposition 3.25, p112-113]:

Proposition 3.2. *$\Psi(A)$ is a Frölicher algebra.*

Remark 3.3. In more classical settings, $\Psi(A)$ can have a quite complicated structure. For example, if A is a locally convex algebra, so is $\Psi(A)$, but this space is not complete for the product topology even if A is complete, see [2, Section 5].

We assume until the end of section 3.1, that A^* is a Frölicher Lie group with Lie algebra noted $\mathfrak{g}_A$.

We notice that if A is a complete locally convex topological algebra equipped with its natural Frölicher structure and A^* is open in A, then $\mathfrak{g}_A = A$. For the needs of integration, we assume (till the end of section 3.1) that A is regular as a Frölicher vector space. We now extend a result proved in [19] (in the case when A is Fréchet algebra with open group of the units) by adapting the standard proof of the Lie group structure of $GL(H)$ when H is a Hilbert space. Since in our setting A can be more general, our proof needs to be different. It will be based on Theorem 2.25 and Theorem 2.26.

Lemma 3.4. *The group $1 + \Psi^{-1}(A)$ is a regular Frölicher Lie group with regular Lie algebra $\Psi^{-1}(A)$.*

Proof. By integration componentwise, we already know that $\Psi^{-1}(A)$ is regular. We now remark that $\Psi^{-1}(A)$ is graded by the order. Then, setting

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{a_{-n}\partial^{-n} | a_{-n} \in A\},$$

we obtain the hypotheses needed to apply Theorem 2.26. □

Theorem 3.5. *There exists a short exact sequence of groups:*

$$1 \longrightarrow 1 + \Psi^{-1}(A) \longrightarrow \Psi^{0,*}(A) \longrightarrow A^* \longrightarrow 1$$

such that:

1. *the injection $1 + \Psi^{-1}(A) \to \Psi^{0,*}(A)$ is smooth*
2. *the principal symbol map $\Psi^{0,*}(A) \to A^*$ is smooth and has a global section which is the restriction to A^* of the canonical inclusion $A \to \Psi^0(A)$.*

As a consequence, A^* is a regular Frölicher Lie group with regular Lie algebra if and only if $\Psi^{0,*}(A)$ is a regular Frölicher Lie group with regular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_A \oplus \Psi^{-1}(A)$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in (\Psi^0(A))^2$. $\sigma_0(ab) = \sigma_0(a)\sigma_0(b)$. If a is invertible, setting $b = a^{-1}$, we get $\sigma_0(a)\sigma_0(a^{-1}) = 1_A$. Thus, $\sigma_0(a) \in A^*$. Thus, the map $a \mapsto \sigma_0(a)$ is a morphism of diffeological groups. Moreover, the section map $A^* \to \Psi^{0,*}(A)$ is a smooth morphism of Frölicher Lie groups. The inclusion $1 + \Psi^{-1}(A) \to \Psi^{0,*}(A)$ is obviously a morphism of Frölicher Lie groups. From Lemma 3.4, we can apply Theorem 2.26, which gives the proof. □

As explained in Remark 2.6, the results stated above remain valid for classical Gateaux differentiability when A is a Fréchet space.

Let us now prove the following:

Theorem 3.6. *There is no Frölicher subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\Psi(A)$, whose group of the units is a Frölicher subgroup of $\Psi(A)^*$, and a Frölicher Lie subgroup, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, such that $\partial \in \mathfrak{g}$, which is Omori-regular.*

Proof. Substituing $X = \partial^{-1}$ in Lemma 2.29 we have that the sequence

$$u_n = \left(1 + \frac{\partial}{n}\right)^n$$

converges in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ but not in $\mathbb{R}((\partial^{-1})) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \Psi(A)$. □

The fact that the Euler method fails to define an exponential map motivates us, after [35, 36], to "regularize" $\Psi(A)$ into some "deformed" algebra.

3.2. **Mulase formal Lie group and Frölicher structures.** Following Bourbaki [7, Algebra, Chapters 1-3, p. 454-457], we take T as the additive monoid of all sequences of natural numbers $t = (n_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ such that $n_i = 0$ except for a finite number of indices, and R as a ring equipped with a derivation ∂. A *formal power series* is a function u from T to R, $u = (u_t)_{t\in T}$. Consider an infinite number of formal variables τ_i, $i \in I$, and set $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots)$. Then, the formal power series u can be written as $u = \sum_{t\in T} u_t\tau^t$ in which $\tau^t = \tau_1^{n_1}\tau_2^{n_2}\cdots$. We say that $u_t \in R$ is a coefficient and that $u_t\tau^t$ is a term.

Operations are defined in an usual manner: If $u = (u_t)_{t\in T}$, $v = (v_t)_{t\in T}$, then

$$u + v = (u_t + v_t)_{t\in T} \quad \text{and} \quad u\,v = w\ ,$$

in which $w = (w_t)_{t\in T}$ and

$$w_t = \sum_{\substack{r,s\in T\\ r+s=t}} u_r v_s\ .$$

It is shown in [7, p. 455] that this multiplication is well defined, and that $A_t = R[[t]]$ equipped with these two operations is a commutative algebra with unit. The derivation ∂ on R extends to a derivation on A via

$$\partial u = \sum_{t\in T} (\partial u_t)\tau^t.$$

We define the *valuation* of a power series following [35], p. 59. Let $u \in A_t$, $u \neq 0$. We write $u = \sum_{t\in T} u_t\tau^t$, and if $t = (n_i)_{i\in I}$, we set $\mid t \mid = \sum in_i$, which is equivalent to Mulase's assumption $ord(\tau_i) = i$. The terms $u_t\tau^t$ such that $\mid t \mid = p$ are called *monomials of valuation p*. The formal power series u_p whose terms of valuation p are those of u, and whose other terms are zero, is called *the homogeneous part of u of valuation p*. The series u_0, the homogeneous part of u of valuation 0, is identified with an element of R called the constant term of u. For a formal series $u \neq 0$, the least integer $p \geqslant 0$ such that $u_p \neq 0$ is called the *valuation* of u, and it is denoted by $val_t(u)$. We extend this definition to the case $u = 0$ by setting $val_t(0) = +\infty$ (see [7, p. 457]). The following properties hold: if u, v are formal power series then

$$val_t(u + v) \geqslant inf(val_t(u), val_t(v))\ , \quad \text{if } u + v \neq 0\ , \tag{3.3}$$

$$val_t(u + v) = inf(val_t(u), val_t(v))\ , \quad \text{if } val_t(u) \neq val_t(v)\ , \tag{3.4}$$

$$val_t(uv) \geqslant val_t(u) + val_t(v)\ , \quad \text{if } uv \neq 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Remark 3.7. Other definitions of val_t are possible, see [9, 10] and [15].

Definition 3.8 (Mulase, [36])**.** We define spaces of formal pseudo-differential and differential operators of infinite order, $\widehat{\Psi}(A)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A$ respectively, as

(3.6)

$$\widehat{\Psi}(A_t) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\, \partial^\alpha : a_\alpha \in A_t \text{ and } \exists (C,N) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{N} \text{ so that } val_t(a_\alpha) > C\alpha - N \ \forall\ \alpha \gg 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t} = \left\{ P = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\, \partial^\alpha : P \in \widehat{\Psi}(A_t) \text{ and } a_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } \alpha < 0 \right\} . \tag{3.7}$$

In addition, we define

$$\mathcal{I}_{A_t} = \Psi^{-1}(A_t) = \left\{ P \in \widehat{\Psi}(A_t) : \forall \alpha > 0, a_\alpha = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$G_{A_t} = 1 + \mathcal{I}(A_t).$$

Notice that $A_t \subset \widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$ as the 0-order term,

$$G_{A_t} \subset \Psi(A_t) \subset \widehat{\Psi}(A_t),$$

and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t} \not\subset \Psi(A_t)$. The operations on $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$ are extensions of the operations on $\Psi(A_t)$. More precisely we have ([36], see also [15]):

Lemma 3.9. *The space $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$ has an algebra structure, and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t}$ is a subalgebra of $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$.*

Definition 3.10. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the ideal of A_t generated by $t_1, t_2, \cdots$. If $P \in \widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$, we denote by $P|_{t=0}$ the equivalence class $P\, mod\, \mathcal{K}$ (i.e. the projection on the constant coefficient of the $t-$serie), and we identify it with an element of $\Psi(A)$. We also set $G_A = 1 + \mathcal{I}_A$, and we define the spaces

$$G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)) = \{P \in \widehat{\Psi}(A_t) : P|_{t=0} \in G_{A_t}\} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^\times_{A_t} = \{P \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A : P|_{t=0} = 1\} . \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.11. We note that if R is a Fréchet ring, then the spaces defined in (3.6) and (3.7) are locally convex but not necessarily complete, and the same remark holds for the spaces defined in Lemma 3.12 below. On the other hand, we will see (Theorem 3.13) that these spaces have Frölicher structures and that therefore can be studied in a rather complete way without the need to consider delicate convergence issues.

Hereafter we assume that R is a Frölicher $\mathbb{K}-$algebra and that $\partial : R \to R$ is smooth. These assumptions imply the following:

Lemma 3.12. *$\Psi(R)[[t]]$ is a Frölicher algebra and*

$$G\Psi_t(R) = \{u \in \Psi(R)[[t]] : \text{ the monomial of valuation } 0 \text{ equals to } 1\}$$

is a Frölicher Lie group, with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}\Psi_t(R) = \{u \in \Psi(R)[[t]] : \text{ the monomial of valuation } 0 \text{ equals to } 0\}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, $\Psi(R)$ is a Frölicher algebra. Let us look at monomials of the series $u \in \Psi(R)[[t]]$. By Addition and scalar multiplication are those induces by the vector space $\Psi(R)^T$, so that they are smooth. If $u.v = w$, fixing $t \in T$, the $\Psi(R)-$value of the $t-$monomial is a (finite) linear combination of multiplications the $\Psi(R)-$values of the $t'-$ and $t''-$monomials of u and v respectively, where $t't'' = t$. Thus multiplication is smooth. $\Psi(R)[[t]]$ is a Frölicher algebra. Now, let $u \in G\Psi_t(R)$.The calculations of the coefficients of u^{-1} are obtained from the equation

$$uu^{-1} = 1$$

which gives, by induction on the valuation in t of each monomial:

$$[u^{-1}]_0 = ([u]_0)^{-1} = 1$$

and

$$[u^{-1}]_t = - \sum_{t't''=t, t'\neq 0} [u]_{t'}[u^{-1}]_{t''}$$

which shows that inversion is smooth since $\Psi(R)$ is a Frölicher algebra. Since $\Psi_t(R)$ is a Frölicher algebra, the Adjoint map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G\Psi_t(R) \times \mathfrak{g}\Psi_t(R) & \to & \mathfrak{g}\Psi_t(R) \\ (g, v) & \mapsto & gvg^{-1} \end{array}$$

differentiate to the classical Lie bracket

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{g}\Psi_t(R) \times \mathfrak{g}\Psi_t(R) & \to & \Psi_t(R) \\ (v_1, v_2) & \mapsto & [v_1, v_2] = v_1v_2 - v_2v_1 \end{array}$$

$val_t\,(v_1v_2 - v_2v_1) \geq inf(val_t(v_1), val_t(v_2)) \geq 1$ hence $[v_1, v_2] \in \mathfrak{g}\Psi_t(R)$ which ends the proof. □

Theorem 3.13. *The following algebras are Frölicher algebras:*
(1) A_t *;* *(2)* $\Psi(A_t)$ *;* *(3)* $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$ *;* *(4)* $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t}$ *.*

Proof. (1) Let us look at monomials of the series $u \in A_t$. Addition and scalar multiplication are those induced by the vector space R^T, so that they are smooth. If $u.v = w$, fixing $t \in T$, the $R-$value of the $t-$monomial is a (finite) linear combination of multiplications the $R-$values of the $t'-$ and $t''-$monomials of u and v respectively, where $t't'' = t$. Thus multiplication is smooth.

(2) Follows from Proposition 3.2 and from the previous point.

(3) We identify $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$ as a subalgebra of $\Psi(R)[[t]]$. By Proposition 3.2, $\Psi(R)$ is a Frölicher algebra, by Lemma 3.12, $\Psi(R)[[t]]$ is a Frölicher algebra. Thus, $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$ is a Frölicher algebra.

(4) $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t}$ is a subalgebra of $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$, so that, it is a Frölicher algebra.

□

We get the following result at the group level:

Theorem 3.14. (1) $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_{A_t}$ *is a Frölicher group.*
(2) $G_R = 1 + \Psi^{-1}(R)$ *and* $G_{A_t} = 1 + \Psi^{-1}(A_t)$ *are Frölicher Lie groups.*
(3) $G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$ *is a Frölicher group.*

Proof. (1) $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_{A_t}$ is a subgroup of $G\Psi_t(R)$, where multiplication and inversion are smooth.

(2) By Proposition 3.12, the evaluation map evaluating $t_i \mapsto \partial^{-i}$ shows that G_R is a Frölicher space, and direct formulas of composition and inversion of pseudo-differential operators are smooth. Then changing R into A_t for G_{A_t}. Since these are affine spaces in $\Psi(R)$ and $\Psi(A_t)$, their tangent space at 1 appear to be smooth ideals of these algebras and hence G_R and G_{A_t} are Frölicher Lie groups.

(3) Since

$$P|_{t=0}(G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))) = G_R = 1 + \Psi^{-1}(R)$$

we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to Ker\,(P|_{t=0}) \to G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)) \to G_R \to 0$$

and there is a global section $G_R \to G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$, given by the canonical inclusion compontwise, which is smooth. Then adapting the classical (algebraic) construction of the semi-direct product

$$G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)) = Ker\,(P|_{t=0}) \rtimes G_R$$

we remark that all the necessary operations to build the multiplication and the inversion group structure of $G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$ from $Ker\,(P|_{t=0})$ and G_R are smooth for the subset Frölicher structures inherited from $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$.

□

We are ready to state and prove an enriched version of Mulase's algebraic factorization theorem, see [35, 36], for the Frölicher group $G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$:

Theorem 3.15. (1) *For any $U \in G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$ there exist unique $S \in G_{A_t}$ and $Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{\times}$ such that*

$$U = S^{-1}\,Y\ .$$

In other words, there exists a unique global factorization of the formal Lie group $G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$ as a group defined by matched pairs,

$$G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)) = G_{A_t}\,\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t}^{\times}\ .$$

(2) *The factorization $U \mapsto (S, Y)$ is (Frölicher) smooth. Hence, it is smooth in the sense of Gateaux if R is a Fréchet ring.*

In order to prove this theorem, let us introduce some notation. Let $u \mapsto u_-$ be the linear projection on $\Psi^{-1}(A_t)$ with respect to the $\partial-$components of $u \in \widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$, and set $u_+ = u - u_-$.

Proof. Part (1) is already proved in [35] (see also the exposition [15]), but we have to examine carefully the original proof in order to check that the map $U \mapsto S$ is smooth. Once we have this first result, we finish the proof of the theorem by remarking that $Y = SU$.

Let us follow the proof of [35, Lemma 3]. We solve the equation

$$(SU)_- = 0,$$

for given

$$U = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} u_\nu \partial^\nu$$

and unknown

$$S = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}-\mathbb{N}} s_\mu \partial^\mu.$$

This equation turns to the infinite system

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}-\mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_{\mu+i-\nu} \right) s_\nu = -u_\mu \quad \text{for } \mu \in \mathbb{Z}-\mathbb{N}$$

with the convention

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ i \end{array} \right) = (-1)^{|\nu|} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\nu| \\ i \end{array} \right) \text{ if } \nu < 0.$$

We then solve this infinite system by induction on μ. For $\mu = -1$, let us study the $t-$monomials.

$$\left[\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}-\mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_{-1+i-\nu} \right) s_\nu \right]_0 = \left[\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_i \right) s_{-1} \right]_0 = -[s_{-1}]_0$$

thus $[s_{-1}]_0 = [u_{-1}]_0$. Then, at the monomial of valuation 1, which is only the t_1-monomial,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}-\mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_{-1+i-\nu} \right) s_\nu \right]_{t_1} &= \left[\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_i \right) \right]_{t_1} [s_{-1}]_0 + \\ & \left[\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_i \right) \right]_0 [s_{-1}]_{t_1} \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_i \right) \right]_{t_1} [u_{-1}]_0 - [s_{-1}]_{t_1} \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$[s_{-1}]_{t_1} = [u_{-1}]_{t_1} + \left[\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_i \right) \right]_{t_1} [u_{-1}]_0$$

which shows that the maps $u \mapsto [s_{-1}]_0$ and $u \mapsto [s_{-1}]_{t_1}$ are smooth. The same calculations can be lead at any $t-$monomial for s_{-1}, and they show that $u \mapsto s_{-1}$ is smooth. Let us now assume that $s_{-1}, ...s_{-k}$ are determined, and are smooth with respect to U, and let us determine s_{-k-1}. Then we write, for $\mu = -k-1$,

$$\sum_{\nu \leq -k-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_{-k-1+i-\nu} \right) s_\nu = -u_{-k-1} - \sum_{\nu=-k}^{-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_{-k-1+i-\nu} \right) s_\nu$$

noticing that the right side is fully determined. Then we carry out induction with respect to the valuation on t, for which we sketch the first two steps as we did before:

- for the $t = 0$ term:

$$[s_{-k-1}]_0 = (-1)^{-k-1} \left[u_{-k-1} + \sum_{\nu=-k}^{-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ i \end{array} \right) \partial^i u_{-k-1+i-\nu} \right) s_\nu \right]_0,$$

- for the t_1-monomial:

$$[s_{-k-1}]_{t_1} = (-1)^{-k-1}\left(\left[u_{-k-1}+\sum_{\nu=-k}^{-1}\left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\begin{pmatrix}\nu\\ i\end{pmatrix}\partial^i u_{-k-1+i-\nu}\right)s_\nu\right]_{t_1}+\left[\left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\begin{pmatrix}-1\\ i\end{pmatrix}\partial^i u_i\right)\right]_{t_1}[s_{-k-1}]_0\right)$$

Thus we have here a construction of S made of smooth operations, so that the map

$$U \mapsto S$$

is smooth. Hence the map

$$U \mapsto Y = SU$$

is also smooth. This completes the proof. □

3.3. **Differentiable structures and regular subgroups of $G(\Psi(A_t))$.** Let us remark that we have established smoothness of the operations on the groups considered by Mulase, but that we have not proved the existence of an exponential map. This is principally because it is difficult to show the existence of the exponential map on $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$; also because it is very difficult to determine the tangent space $T_1G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$; even more, because it is difficult to differentiate an hypothetic adjoint action of $G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$ on $T_1G(\widehat{\Psi}(A_t))$. Most of the difficulties come from the definition of $\widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$. This is why we construct a Frölicher subalgebra $\overline{\Psi}(A_t) \subset \widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$, motivated by the next Lemma. We denote by exp_t the exponential defined on formal $T-$series in $\Psi(A)^T \supset \widehat{\Psi}(A_t)$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \in \Psi(A)^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ such that $ord(P_n) \leq n$. Then*

$$exp_t\left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*} t_iP_i\right) \in \widehat{\Psi}(A)$$

and the map $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mapsto exp_t(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*} t_iP_i)$ is smooth. Moreover, if

$$exp_t\left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*} t_iP_i\right) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha,$$

we have

$$\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{Z},\quad \alpha \leq val_t a_\alpha\,.$$

Proof. Each $t-$monomial of $exp_t(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*} t_iP_i)$ is, up to a scalar multiplication, a finite sum of finite products of terms of the sequence (P_n). Since multiplication is smooth on $\Psi(A)$, we get that the map $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mapsto exp_t(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*} t_iP_i)$ is smooth in $\Psi(A)^T$. Then we only need to check that $\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{Z},\quad \alpha \leq val_t a_\alpha$, which will ensure that $exp_t(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*} t_iP_i) \in \widehat{\Psi}(A)$. Setting $P_f(\mathbb{N})$ the set of finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, we get that, for $I \in P_f(\mathbb{N})$, and for any multi-index $(\alpha_i)_{i\in I}$, the $\left(\prod_{i\in I} t_i^{\alpha_i}\right)-$monomial $p_{(t_i),(\alpha_i)}$ is of ($\partial-$maximal) order

$$\alpha = \sum_{i\in I}\alpha_i$$

by property (3.5). Then, from the classical formulas that compute a_α, we get

$$val_t a_\alpha \geq \sum_{I\in P_f(\mathbb{N}),\sum_I \alpha_i=\alpha} \alpha_i = \alpha$$

using (3.3) and (3.4). □

Definition 3.17. The regular space of formal pseudo-differential and differential operators of infinite order are, respectively, $\overline{\Psi}(A)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_A$, in which

$$\overline{\Psi}(A_t) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \, \partial^\alpha \in \widehat{\Psi}(A_t) \ : \ val_t(a_\alpha) \leq \alpha \right\} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t} = \left\{ P = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \, \partial^\alpha : P \in \overline{\Psi}(A_t) \text{ and } a_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } \alpha < 0 \right\} . \tag{3.11}$$

In addition, we define

$$G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t)) = \{P \in \overline{\Psi}(A_t) : P|_{t=0} \in G_{A_t}\} \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_{A_t} = \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t} : P|_{t=0} = 1\} . \tag{3.13}$$

Complementing Remark 3.11, we note that if R is a Fréchet ring, then$\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{A_t}$ are Fréchet algebras. In our general case we have:

Lemma 3.18. *$\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$ is a Frölicher algebra, and $G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ is a Frölicher group.*

Proof. From Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.14, we only have to prove that $\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$ and $G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ are stable under algebraic operations. In order to do this, we only need to check some estimates:

- Let $(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha, \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} b_\alpha\partial^\alpha) \in \overline{\Psi}(A_t)^2$, then
$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} b_\alpha\partial^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_\alpha + b_\alpha)\partial^\alpha.$$
Using property (3.3),
$$val_t(a_\alpha + b_\alpha) \geq \inf\left(val_t(a_\alpha), val_t(b_\alpha)\right) \geq \alpha.$$
- the same way and with the same notations,
$$\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} b_\alpha\partial^\alpha\right) = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\times\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k!}(\partial^k a_\alpha) b_\beta \partial^{\alpha+\beta-k}$$
gives:
$$val_t\left((\partial^k a_\alpha) b_\beta\right) \geq val_t\left(a_\alpha b_\beta\right)$$
and by property (3.5),
$$val_t\left((\partial^k a_\alpha) b_\beta\right) \geq \alpha + \beta \geq \alpha + \beta - k.$$

- Mimicking the proof of Lemma 3.12, if $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha \in G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$,

$$[(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha)^{-1}]_t = -[\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_0^{-1} \sum_{t't''=t,t'\neq 0} [\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_{t'}[(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha)^{-1}]_{t''}.$$

Since

$$[\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_0 \in G_R,$$

$$val_t[\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_0 = 0,$$

and hence, considering each sum of monomials of constant valuation k,

$$\begin{aligned}
& ord\left(\sum_{|t|=k}\left[\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha\right)^{-1}\right]_t\right) \\
\leq\ & \sup_{|t|=k} ord\left([\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_0^{-1} \sum_{t't''=t,t'\neq 0} [\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_{t'}[(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha)^{-1}]_{t''}\right) \\
\leq\ & 0 + \sup_{|t|=k} ord\left(\sum_{t't''=t,t'\neq 0} [\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_{t'}[(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha)^{-1}]_{t''}\right) \\
\leq\ & \sup_{|t|=k}\sup_{t't''=t,t'\neq 0} ord\left([\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_{t'}[(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha)^{-1}]_{t''}\right) \\
\leq\ & \sup_{|t|=k}\sup_{t't''=t,t'\neq 0} ord\left([\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_{t'}\right) + ord\left([(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha)^{-1}]_{t''}\right) \\
\leq\ & \sup_{|t|=k}\sup_{t't''=t,t'\neq 0} val_t\left([\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha]_{t'}\right) + val_t\left([(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha\partial^\alpha)^{-1}]_{t''}\right) \\
\leq\ & \sup_{|t|=k}\sup_{t't''=t,t'\neq 0} |t'| + |t''| \\
\leq\ & k.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following proposition gathers all trivial but important properties of the "barred" spaces introduced above, which are necessary for our work. They are all consequences of Lemma 3.18. We leave the proof of this proposition as a toy exercise for the reader. It can be proved either by direct computations or by considering the intersection of the "hatted" sets appearing in Theorems 3.13 and 3.14 with $\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$.

Proposition 3.19. *We have the following properties:*

(1) $\mathcal{I}_{A_t} \subset \overline{\Psi}(A_t)$
(2) $G_{A_t} \subset G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$
(3) $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^\times_{A_t}$ *is a Frölicher subgroup of* $G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$.
(4) *For any* $U \in G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ *there exist unique* $W \in G_{A_t}$ *and* $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\times_A$ *such that*

$$U = W^{-1}\,Y\ .$$

In other words, there exists a unique global factorization of the formal Lie group $G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ as a group defined by matched pairs,

$$G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t)) = G_{A_t}\,\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_{A_t}\ .$$

(5) *The factorization $U \mapsto (W, Y)$ is smooth.*

We now turn to regular Lie groups. For this, and till the end of the paper, we assume that A_t is a regular algebra, and that A_t^* is a regular Lie group. This is equivalent to saying that R is a regular vector space, and R^* is a regular Lie group.

Lemma 3.20. *$\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$ is regular as a vector space.*

Proof. Let $s \mapsto \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha(s)\partial^\alpha \in C^\infty([0;1], \overline{\Psi}(A_t))$. Let $[a_\alpha(s)]_t$ be $R-$valued the projection of a monomial noted by t.

- if $|t| < \alpha$ then $a_\alpha(s) = 0$ and hence $\int_0^s a_\alpha = 0$.
- if $|t| \leq \alpha$, then $s \mapsto \int_0^s a_\alpha$ exists since R is regular.

Hence, inequalities on val_t and $\partial-$order appearing in the definition of $\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ are fulilled, and

$$s \mapsto \int_0^s \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha(s)\partial^\alpha \in C^\infty([0;1], \overline{\Psi}(A_t)).$$

□

Theorem 3.21. *$\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_{A_t}$ is a regular Frölicher Lie group, with regular Lie algebra*

$$\overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t} = \{P \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A : P|_{t=0} = 0\}.$$

Proof. $\overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_A$ is by construction a Frölicher vector space, which is regular as can be seen by adapting the proof of Lemma 3.20. From Proposition 3.19, $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_A$ is a Frölicher group. Let

$$c(s) = a_0(s) + \sum_t a_t(s)$$

be a path starting at 1. From Equation (3.13),

$$\partial_t a_0(0) = 0.$$

Moreover, $ord(a_t) \leq |t|$ and hence

$$ord(\partial_s a_t(0)) \leq |t|$$

thus

$$\partial_s c(0) \in \overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t}.$$

Conversely, let $w \in \overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t}$, since $val_t(w) = 1$, we have that

$$c(s) = 1 + sw \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_A$$

Since $\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$ is a Frölicher algebra, since $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_{A_t}$ is a Frölicher group, Adjoint map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{\times}_{A_t} \times \overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t} & \to & \overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t} \\ (D, d) & \mapsto & DdD^{-1} \end{array}$$

is well-defined, with values in $\overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t}$, and differentiates to the standard Lie bracket

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t} \times \overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t} & \to & \overline{\mathfrak{d}}^{\times}_{A_t} \\ (d_1, d_2) & \mapsto & [d_1, d_2] = d_1 d_2 - d_2 d_1 \end{array}$$

which shows that $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_A^\times$ is a Frölicher Lie group, with regular Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{d}}_{A_t}^\times$. We complete now the proof applying Theorem 2.25, with $\mathcal{A}_n$ define as the vector space of all $(t,\partial)-$monomials of valuation equal to n, and of order $\leq n$. □

We now give the corresponding theorem for $G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$.

Theorem 3.22. *The group $G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ is a regular Frölicher Lie group, with regular Frölicher Lie algebra*

$$\mathfrak{g}(\overline{\Psi}(A_t)) = \left\{ P \in \overline{\Psi}(A_t) : P|_{t=0} \in \Psi^{-1}(R) \right\}.$$

Proof. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to Ker((.)|_{t=0}) \to G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t)) \to G_R \to 0$$

with smooth inclusion $G_R \to G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ on the $t=0$ component. So that, by Theorem 2.26 and by Lemma 3.4, we only need to prove that $Ker((.)|_{t=0})$ is a regular Lie group with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{l} = \left\{ P \in \overline{\Psi}(A_t) : P|_{t=0} = 0 \right\}.$$

We notice that

$$Ker((.)|_{t=0}) = G\Psi_t(R) \cap \overline{\Psi}(A_t)$$

annd hence $Ker((.)|_{t=0})$ is a Frölicher Lie group. Let us check the following:

- $\mathfrak{l}$ is regular as a vector space This is proved in Lemma 3.20 that any path in $C^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{l})$ integrates to a path on $\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$, and it is easy to see that the $t=0$ component, which equals to 0, integrates to 0.
- The exponential map $exp : C^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{l}) \to C^\infty([0,1], Ker(P|_{t=0}))$ We can now apply Theorem 2.25 setting $\mathcal{A}_m$ as the vector space of $t-$monomials of valuation equal to m, and which order is not bigger than m.

□

Remark 3.23. If we knew a priori that A_t is regular, then Theorem 3.22 would imply Lemma 3.16.

4. Integration of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and smoothness

The Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy reads

$$\frac{dL}{dt_k} = \left[(L^k)_+, L \right] , \qquad k \geq 1 , \tag{4.1}$$

with initial condition $L(0) = L_0 \in \Psi^1(R)$. The dependent variable L is chosen to be of the form

$$L = \partial + \sum_{\alpha \leq -1} u_\alpha \partial^\alpha \in \Psi^1(A_t) .$$

Standard references on (4.1) are [11, 24, 35, 36]. The following result which, as explained below, is partly stated and proved in [35, 15], gives a solution to the Cauchy problem for the KP hierarchy (4.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Consider the KP hierarchy with initial condition $L(0) = L_0$. Then,*

(1) *There exists a pair $(S,Y) \in G_{A_t} \times \overline{\mathcal{D}}(A_t)^\times$ such that the unique solution to Equation* (4.1) *with $L(0) = L_0$ is*

$$L(t) = Y\, L_0\, Y^{-1} = S L_0 S^{-1} .$$

(2) *The pair* (S,Y) *is uniquely determined by the decomposition problem*

$$exp\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} t_k L_0^k\right) = S^{-1}Y.$$

(3) *The solution operator* L *is smoothly dependent on the variable* t *and on the initial value* L_0*. This means that the map*

$$(L_0,s)\in(\partial+\Psi^{-1}(R))\times T\mapsto \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\sum_{|t|=n}[L(s)]_t\right)\in(\partial+\Psi^{-1}(R))^{\mathbb{N}}$$

is smooth, in which $s\in\cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{K}^n$ *and this set is equipped with the structure of locally convex topological space given by the inductive limit.*

The existence of an algebraic decomposition as in Parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.1 appears already in Mulase's seminal paper [35], and a formal solution to (4.1) as in Part (1) is in [15]. The richness of Theorem 4.1 steams from the fact that we pose the KP equations (4.1) in the Frölicher algebra $\Psi(A_t)$ (Theorem 3.13) and that then we *solve* the Cauchy problem for (4.1) using an analytically rigorous factorization in Frölicher Lie groups (Theorem 3.14, Proposition 3.19 and Theorem 3.21) of the infinite-dimensional regular Frölicher Lie group $G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ (Theorem 3.22). We propose two proofs, one inspired on the formal arguments of [15] and the other inspired on the work of [30] on a q-deformed KP hierarchy. As is plain from the discussions below, the new arguments used herein are intrinsically interwoven with the ones used in [15, 30].

4.1. **First proof.** Motivated by [15], we model our first proof after Reyman and Semenov-tian-Shansky's [43], see also [41]. We set

$$U=\exp\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} t_k L_0^k\right).$$

Then, $U\in G(\overline{\Psi}(A_t))$ by Lemma 3.16 applied with $P_n=L_0^n$. We consider the smooth decomposition

$$U\mapsto(S,Y)\in G_{A_t}\times\overline{\mathcal{D}}(A_t)^{\times}$$

following Proposition 3.19, and we set $L=Y\,L_0\,Y^{-1}$. We make two obvious observations:

(1) $L^k=YL_0^kY^{-1}$
(2) $U\,L_0^kU^{-1}=L_0^k$ since L_0 commutes with $U=\exp(\sum_k t_k\,L_0^k)$.

It follows that $L^k=YL_0^kY^{-1}=SS^{-1}YL_0^kY^{-1}SS^{-1}=SL_0^kS^{-1}$.

We take t_k-derivative of U for each $k\geq 1$. We get the equation

$$L_0^kU=-S^{-1}S_{t_k}S^{-1}Y+S^{-1}Y_{t_k}$$

and so, using $U=S^{-1}\,Y$, we obtain the decomposition

$$SL_0^kS^{-1}=-S_{t_k}S^{-1}+Y_{t_k}Y^{-1}\,.$$

Since $S_{t_k}S^{-1}\in\mathcal{I}_A$ and $Y_{t_k}Y^{-1}\in\mathcal{D}_A$, we conclude that

$$(L^k)_+=Y_{t_k}Y^{-1}\quad\text{and}\quad(L^k)_-=-S_{t_k}S^{-1}.$$

Now we take t_k-derivative of L:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dL}{dt_k} &= Y_{t_k} L_0 Y^{-1} - Y L_0 Y^{-1} Y_{t_k} Y^{-1} \\ &= Y_{t_k} Y^{-1} Y L_0 Y^{-1} - Y L_0 Y^{-1} Y_{t_k} Y^{-1} \\ &= (L^k)_+ L - L (L^k)_+ \\ &= [(L^k)_+, L] \,.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to check the initial condition: We have $L(0) = Y(0) L_0 Y(0)^{-1}$, but $Y(0) = 1$ since $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}(A_t)^\times$.

Smoothness with respect to t is already proved by construction, and we have established smoothness of the map $L_0 \mapsto Y$ at the beginning of the proof. Thus, the map

$$L_0 \mapsto L(t) = Y(t) L_0 Y^{-1}(t)$$

is smooth in $\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$.

In order to finish the proof, we need to prove that the solution L belongs to $\Psi(A_t)$. For this, we consider above mentioned relation

$$L^k = S L_0^k S^{-1},$$

which for $k = 1$, implies that

$$L = L_0 + S[L_0, S^{-1}].$$

$[L_0, S^{-1}] \in \Psi^{-1}(A_t)$, $S \in \Psi_0(A_t)$ and $L_0 \in \partial + \Psi^{-1}(R)$, so that

$$L \in \partial + \Psi^{-1}(A_t).$$

Remark 4.2. The pseudo-differential operator L we have constructed gives a full solution to the KP hierarchy, in contradistinction to the result proven in [15] in which (4.1) is considered as an infinite system of equations for the coefficients of L in *two* independent variables, the "time variable" t_k and the "space variable" modelled by the derivation ∂. We can give another construction of the operators S, Y and L used above, which builds by induction on t_k :

First, we fix $t_2 = t_3 = \cdots = 0$, and we obtain a first operator $U_1(t_1) = \exp(t_1 L_0) = S_1^{-1} Y_1$ such that $L_1 = Y_1 L_0 Y_1^{-1} = S_1 L_0 S_1^{-1}$ is the unique solution of the t_1-equation of the KP-hierarchy

$$\frac{dL_1}{dt_1} = [(L_1)_+, L_1] \,.$$

Then, applying this first result to $R = R[[t_1]]$, and noticing that $R[[t_1, t_2]] = R[[t_1]][[t_2]]$, we set

$$U_2(t_1, t_2) = \exp(t_2 L_1) = S_2^{-1} Y_2 \in G\overline{\Psi} R[[t_1, t_2]] \,,$$

thereby obtaining a solution

$$L_2(t_1, t_2) = Y_2 L_1 Y_2^{-1} = Y_2 Y_1 L_0 Y_1^{-1} Y_2^{-1}$$

or equivalently

$$L_2(t_1, t_2) = S_2 L_1 S_2^{-1} = S_2 S_1 L_0 S_1^{-1} S_2^{-1},$$

and so on. The total solution L is built by a inductive limit process which gives the operators S and Y, and we can also easily check smoothness in t of this full solution by remarking that $\sum_{|t|=n} [L(s)]_t$ is a finite sum of $t-$monomials.

4.2. **Second proof.** We consider the space $T = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}^n$ equipped with the structure of locally convex topological space given by the inductive limit. Since we have $[L^n, L] = 0$, we obtain from (4.1) that

$$\frac{dL}{dt_n} = [L^n_+, L] = -[L^n_-, L] \ .$$

Then, setting

$$Z^c dt = -\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} L^n_- dt_n \ ,$$

we get that $Z^c dt$ is a bona fide one-form,

$$Z^c dt \in \Omega^1(T, \Psi^{-1}(A_t))$$

where A_t is understood as set of smooth maps $T \to R^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\overline{\Psi}(A_t)$ as a set of smooth maps $T \to \Psi(\mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then, the algebraic derivation with respect to t_n fits, on these $t-$series, with the derivation with respect to t as a variable in a locally convex topological vector space. It follows that the ZS^c equation

$$dZ^c - [Z^c, Z^c] = 0$$

is a rigorous zero-curvature equation. Following [30], we use the scaling $t_n \mapsto q^n t_n$ to build the following operator in $\overline{\Psi}(A_t)[[q]]$:

$$\tilde{L}(t_1, t_2, ...) = qL(qt_1, q^2 t_2, ...) \ ,$$

and the ZS 1-forms

$$\tilde{Z}_+(t_1, ...) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q^n (\tilde{L}^n)_+ dt_n$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}_-(t_1, ...) = -\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q^n (\tilde{L}^n)_- dt_n \ .$$

Then, $\tilde{Z}_+$ and $\tilde{Z}_-$ are smooth 1-forms in $\Omega^1(T, \Psi(R)[[q]])$, and the equations of the KP hierarchy for the scaled pseudo-differential operator $(1/q)\tilde{L}$ become

$$d(\tilde{L}) = [\tilde{Z}_+, \tilde{L}] = [\tilde{Z}_-, \tilde{L}] \ .$$

Moreover, we still have the corresponding $ZS-$ and ZS^c-equations:

$$d\tilde{Z}_+ + [\tilde{Z}_+, \tilde{Z}_+] = 0$$

and

$$d\tilde{Z}_- - [\tilde{Z}_-, \tilde{Z}_-] = 0 \ .$$

We define the following algebras and groups, in which val_q is valuation of $q-$series, after [30, 31]:

Definition 4.3. We set

$$\Psi_q(R) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha \in \Psi(R)[[q]] : val_q(a_\alpha) \geq \alpha \right\},$$

$$G\Psi_q(R) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha \in \Psi_q(R) : a_0 = 1 + b_0, \quad val_q(b_0) \geq 1 \right\},$$

$$G_{R,q} = \left\{ A \in G\Psi_q(R) : A = 1 + B, \quad B \in \Psi^{-1}(R)[[q]] \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_q(R) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha \in \Psi_q(R) : a_\alpha = 0 \text{ if } \alpha < 0 \text{ and } a_0 = 1 + b_0, \quad val_q(b_0) \geq 1 \right\},$$

The following Lemma is proved directly in [30, 31], but now we can give an alternative shorter proof using some of the results already stated in this paper.

Lemma 4.4. *$\Psi_q(R)$ is a Frölicher algebra, regular as a Lie algebra, and the groups $G\Psi_q(R)$, $G_{R,q}$ and $\mathcal{D}_q(R)$ are regular Frölicher Lie groups with Lie algebras given respectively by:*

$$\mathfrak{g}\Psi_q(R) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha \in \Psi_q(R) : val_q(a_0) \geq 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{R,q} = \Psi^{-1}(R)[[q]],$$

and

$$\mathfrak{d}_q(R) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha \in D_q(R) : a_0 = 0 \text{ if } \alpha < 0 \quad val_q(a_0) \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. We remark that by substituting q^n for t_n, we obtain maps

$$\overline{\Psi}(A_t) \to \Psi_q(R),$$

$$G\overline{\Psi}(A_t) \to G\Psi_q(R),$$

$$G_{A_t} \to G_{R,q}R$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}(A_t) \to \mathcal{D}_q(R).$$

By straightforward computation on the coefficients of the series, the first map $\overline{\Psi}(A_t) \to \Psi_q(R)$ is a (smooth) morphism of Frölicher algebra, which commutes with valuations val_t and val_q, and with integration of paths. Thus, identifying e.g. t_1 with q in order to have a slice map to get the same properties, we get that $\Psi_q(R)$ is a regular Frölicher algebra. In this way, with the same kind of arguments, the rest of the Lemma follows easily. □

Now we apply Theorem 2.40 to the (direct product) group $G_{R,q} \times \mathcal{D}_q(R)$, which is a regular Lie group with regular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{Rq} \times \mathfrak{d}_q(R)$ (with direct product Lie bracket), and we obtain trivially the following key result:

Theorem 4.5. *Let $L_0 \in \partial + \Psi^{-1}(R)$ and let $S_0 \in G_R$ such that $L_0 = S_0 \partial S_0^{-1}$. Let us consider*

$$\tilde{Z}_+(t_1, ...) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q^n (L_0^n)_+ dt_n$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}_-(t_1, ...) = -\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q^n (L_0^n)_- dt_n.$$

Then there exists an unique couple $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{Y}) \in C^\infty(T, G_R \times \mathfrak{D}_q(R))$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} d\tilde{S}.\tilde{S}^{-1} = \tilde{Z}_- \\ d\tilde{Y}.\tilde{Y}^{-1} = \tilde{Z}_+ \end{cases}$$

for the initial conditions $(S_0, 1)$ at $t = 0$.

In order to finish our second proof, we form $\tilde{L} = q\tilde{S}\partial\tilde{S}^{-1} \in C^\infty(T, \Psi_q(R))$. This function satisfies

$$d\tilde{L} = [\tilde{Z}_-, \tilde{L}] .$$

Since $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we have that $[\tilde{L}^n, \tilde{L}] = 0$, we get the corresponding $(+)$-part

$$d\tilde{L} = [\tilde{Z}_+, \tilde{L}] , \tag{4.2}$$

and hence

$$\tilde{L} = \tilde{Y} L_0 \tilde{Y}^{-1} .$$

Let us now consider the infinite jets

$$S_q = j^\infty(\tilde{S}) ,$$
$$Y_q = j^\infty(\tilde{Y}) ,$$

and

$$L_q = j^\infty(\tilde{L}) .$$

By classical properties of infinite germs, all the relations above involving relations on $\tilde{S}$, $\tilde{Y}$ and $\tilde{L}$ remain valid for S_q, Y_q and L_q, and the $t-$monomials of the infinite jet series are polynomials in q. Then, they are $q-$smooth, where $q \in \mathbb{K}$ is no longer a formal variable. Setting

$$(S, Y, L) = \lim_{q\to 1}(S_q, Y_q, L_q) ,$$

we recover Theorem 4.1.

5. Perturbed solutions and Hamiltonian approach

5.1. **Hamiltonian formulation of KP.** We consider the regular Frölicher Lie algebra of formal pseudo-differential operators $\Psi(A)$ in which A is an arbitrary Fölicher algebra. First of all, we recall that if $P = \sum_{-\infty<\nu\leq N} a_\nu \partial^\nu \in \Psi(A)$, the *residue* of P (Adler, [4]) is

$$res(P) = a_{-1} .$$

The most important property of *res* (see Adler [4]; proofs also appear in [11] and [38, Chapter 5]) is the following:

Lemma 5.1. *If $P, Q \in \Psi(A)$, then $res([P, Q]) = \partial(f)$ for some $f \in A$.*

Let us suppose that there exists a $\mathbb{K}$-linear function $I : A \to B$, in which B is an unitary Frölicher algebra, such that

$$I(\partial u) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in A . \tag{5.1}$$

We define the *trace form* on $\Psi(A)$ by

$$\text{Trace}(P) = I(\text{res}(P)) \text{ for all } P \in \Psi(A) . \tag{5.2}$$

Hereafter we assume, generalizing the standard case in which $A = C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{K})$ and the map I is definite integration, that the trace form (5.2) satisfies the non-degeneracy condition

$$\begin{array}{l}\text{The pairing } \langle\ ,\ \rangle : \Psi(A) \times \Psi(A) \to B \text{ given by } \langle P, Q\rangle = \text{Trace}(PQ) \\ \text{is non-degenerate, that is, } \langle P, Q\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } Q \in \Psi(A) \text{ implies } P = 0 .\end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

Condition (5.1) means that we can "integrate by parts", that is, the identity $I(\partial(u) \cdot v) = -I(u \cdot \partial(v))$ holds for all $u, v \in A$. This fact is crucial for the proof of the following standard lemma:

Lemma 5.2. *The B-valued pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ defined in* (5.3) *is $\mathbb{K}$-bilinear, symmetric, non-degenerate, and it satisfies*

$$\langle [P,Q],S\rangle \ = \ \langle [S,P],Q\rangle \tag{5.4}$$

for all $P,Q,S\in\Psi(A)$.

Now we consider the Frölicher Lie algebra $\Psi(A)$ and we define the *regular dual space*

$$\Psi(A)' = \{\mu\in L(\Psi(A),B) : \mu = \langle P,\cdot\rangle \text{ for some } P\in\Psi(A)\}\ .$$

These definitions allow us to adapt standard results of Hamiltonian mechanics (see for instance [33] or, the recent summary [15] and references therein) to our infinite-dimensional context as follows:

Inspired by [18, 23], we let $f:\Psi(A)'\to B$ be a polynomial function of the type

$$f(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k Trace(P^k) \tag{5.5}$$

with $\mu=\langle P,.\rangle$. The *functional derivative of f at $\mu\in\Psi(A)'$* is the unique element $\delta f/\delta\mu$ of $\Psi(A)$ determined by

$$\left\langle \nu \ \middle|\ \frac{\delta\, f}{\delta\,\mu}\right\rangle \ = d\, f_\mu(Q) \tag{5.6}$$

for all $\nu=\langle Q,.\rangle\in\Psi(A)'$. If $f=Trace\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k P^k\right)$, direct computations show that $\frac{\delta f}{\delta\mu}$ is given by (algebraic) derivation of the polynomials in agreement with [11].

Definition 5.3. The B-valued Lie-Poisson bracket on the regular dual space $\Psi(A)'$ is defined as follows: for all polynomial functions $F,G:\Psi(A)'\to B$ of the type (5.5) and $\mu\in\Psi(A)'$,

$$\{F\,,\,G\}(\mu) = \left\langle \mu \ \middle|\ \left[\frac{\delta\, F}{\delta\,\mu}\,,\,\frac{\delta\, G}{\delta\,\mu}\right]\right\rangle\ . \tag{5.7}$$

Now we fix a polynomial function $H:\Psi(A)'\to B$ as in (5.5). Then, Equation (5.7) determines a derivation X_H on polynomial functions $F:\Psi(A)'\to B$ via

$$X_H(\mu)\cdot F = \{F,H\}(\mu) = \left\langle \mu \ \middle|\ \left[\frac{\delta\, F}{\delta\,\mu}\,,\,\frac{\delta\, H}{\delta\,\mu}\right]\right\rangle \tag{5.8}$$

for all $\mu\in\Psi(A)'$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $H:\Psi(A)'\to B$ be a smooth function on $\Psi(A)'$. Then, with the previous notations, Hamilton's equations*

$$\frac{d}{dt}(F\circ\mu) = X_H(\mu)\cdot F \tag{5.9}$$

for $\mu(t)=\langle P(t),\cdot\rangle\in\Psi(A)'$ can be written as equations on $\Psi(A)$ as follows:

$$\frac{d\,P}{dt} = \left[\frac{\delta\, H}{\delta\,\mu}\,,\,P\right]\ . \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. Let $P\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\Psi(A))$ and let $\mu=\langle P,.\rangle\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\Psi(A)')$. We set up the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}(F\circ\mu) = X_H(\mu)\cdot F$$

for $\mu(t) = \langle P(t), \cdot \rangle \in \Psi(A)'$ and F as above. If we set $F(\mu) = Trace\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k P^k\right)$, we can compute the left and the right side of (5.9) separately. Using (5.8) and (5.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}(F \circ \mu) &= \frac{d}{dt} Trace\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k P^k\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k Trace\left(\frac{dP^k}{dt}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k Trace\left(kP^{k-1}\frac{dP}{dt}\right) \\
&= \left\langle \frac{dP}{dt}, \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
X_H(\mu) \cdot F &= \left\langle P, \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mu}\right] \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \left[P, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mu}\right], \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu} \right\rangle ,
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. □

This proof suggests that we can identify $X_H(\mu)$ with the germ $\frac{dP}{dt}$. The equations for the integral curves of X_H are Hamilton's equations on $\Psi(A)'$ corresponding to the Hamiltonian function H.

Now we work in the context of r-matrices, see [46]. The decomposition $\Psi(A) = \Psi^{-1}(A) \oplus \mathcal{D}_A$, in which $\mathcal{D}_A = \Psi(A) - \Psi^{-1}(A)$, allows us to consider a new Lie bracket on the regular dual space $\Psi(A)'$ given by

$$[P, Q]_0 = [P_+, Q_+] - [P_-, Q_-] , \tag{5.11}$$

in which $P_\pm = \pi_\pm(P)$, $Q_\pm = \pi_\pm(Q)$, and $\pi_\pm$ are the projection maps from $\Psi(A)$ onto $\mathcal{D}_A$ and $\mathcal{I}_A$ respectively. This bracket determines a new Poisson structure $\{\ ,\ \}_0$ on $\Psi(A)'$, simply by replacing the original Lie product for $[\ ,\ ]_0$ in (5.7). Using again the non-degenerate pairing (5.3) we obtain the following version of Lemma 5.4:

Lemma 5.5. *Let $H : \Psi(A)' \to B$ be a smooth function on $\Psi(A)'$ such that*

$$\left\langle \mu \;\middle|\; \left[\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mu}, \cdot\right] \right\rangle = 0 \qquad \text{for all } \mu \in \Psi(A)' . \tag{5.12}$$

Then, as equations on $\Psi(A)$, the Hamiltonian equations of motion with respect to the $\{\ ,\ \}_0$ Poisson structure of $\Psi(A)'$ are

$$\frac{d\,P}{d\,t} = \left[\left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mu}\right)_+ , P\right] . \tag{5.13}$$

We now use some specific functions H. Let us recall the following results (see for example [11] or the more recent review [15]):

Proposition 5.6. *We define the functions $H_k(L) = Trace\left((L^k)\right)$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, for $L \in \Psi(A)$. Then, $\frac{\delta H_k}{\delta L} = kL^{k-1}$. In particular, the functions H_k satisfy* (5.12).

Thus, we can apply Lemma 5.5. It yields:

Proposition 5.7. *Let us equip the Lie algebra $\Psi(A)$ with the non-degenerate pairing* (5.3). *Write $\Psi(A) = \Psi^{-1}(A) \oplus \mathcal{D}_A$ and consider the Hamiltonian functions*

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\mu) = \frac{1}{k} Trace\left((L^{k+1})\right) \tag{5.14}$$

for $\mu = \langle L, . \rangle$. The corresponding Hamiltonian equations of motion with respect to the $\{\ ,\ \}_0$ Poisson structure of $\Psi(A)'$ are

$$\frac{dL}{dt_k} = \left[(L^k)_+, L\right] \ . \tag{5.15}$$

Equations (5.15) are the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili hierarchy on the space $\Psi(A)$. As explained in Section 4, the standard KP hierarchy is (5.15) with L given by the pseudo-differential operator

$$L = \partial + u_{-1}\,\partial^{-1} + u_{-2}\,\partial^{-2} + \cdots \ .$$

5.2. **Perturbed solutions.** From now onwards, we set $R = C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{K})$ where $\mathbb{K}$ is $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. First of all we remark that we can replace R by

$$R_z = \left\{ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z^n a_n : (a_n)_{\mathbb{N}} \in R^{\mathbb{N}} \right\}$$

in the whole previous picture. The algebra R_z is a Fréchet algebra, and according to Remark 2.6, smoothness in the sense of Frölicher is equivalent to smoothness in the sense of Gateaux derivatives in the category of Fréchet spaces. As a consequence, The operator ∂ extends (smoothly) componentwise, and we get that the set of units of R_z is

$$R_z^* = \left\{ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z^n a_n \in R_z : a_0 \in R^* \right\} \ ,$$

by standard techniques on formal series.

Before continuing the development of KP in this setting, let us give our motivation.

It is well-known that there exists a theory of pseudo-differential operators with symbols of limited smoothness, see e.g. [6, 32, 31], but the algebra of formal symbols cannot be constructed as before, principally because of the lack of continuity of the multiplication of functions in Sobolev classes for low Sobolev orders. However, for functions $f \in H^s_0$, there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{\mathbb{N}} \in (C^\infty_c)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim f_n = f$ in H^s. Setting $u_0 = f_0$ and $u_n = f_n - f_{n-1}$ for $n > 0$, we obtain

$$f = \lim_{z\to 1} \sum_{n\in N^*} z^n u_n \ ,$$

so that we can naturally investigate the KP hierarchy on spaces of formal pseudo-differential operators with coefficients with low regularity by using R_z, as already sketched in [31] with other notations.

In order to ensure that we can apply our previous results in this setting, we have to check:

(1) R_z is a commutative $\mathbb{K}-$algebra with unit 1.
(2) ∂ is a derivation on R_z

(3) R_z is a Frölicher algebra
(4) ∂ is smooth
(5) R_z^* is a Frölicher Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_R$.
(6) R_z is regular as a Frölicher vector space.

The proofs of (1)-(4) and (6) are straightforward. The proof of (5) is an application of Theorems 2.25 and 2.26 to the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \left\{ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z^n a_n \in R_q : a_0 = 1 \right\} \longrightarrow R_z^* \longrightarrow R^* \longrightarrow 1 \ ,$$

as we already did for other groups of series. Within this setting, the Lax operator L of previous sections is changed into an operator L_z with coefficients in $A_z = R[[z,t]]$, which can be understood as $A_t[[z]]$ or $R_z[[t]]$. Theorem 4.1 then applies, and equation (4.1) can be solved as before.

Let us consider the Hamiltonian formulation of KP in this context. We define the function $I : R_z \to \mathbb{R}_z = \mathbb{R}[[z]]$ as

$$I\left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z^n f_n\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z^n \int_{S^1} f_n \ .$$

The function I clearly satisfies (5.1), and the corresponding paring given by $\langle P, Q\rangle = I(res(PQ))$ for all $P, Q \in \Psi(A_z)$, is non-degenerate. We can then apply Proposition 5.7 and obtain the following result:

Corollary 5.8. *Let us equip the Lie algebra $\Psi(A_z)$ with the non-degenerate pairing $\langle P, Q\rangle = I(res(PQ))$ for all $P, Q \in \Psi(A_z)$. Write $\Psi(A_z) = \Psi^{-1}(A_z) \oplus \mathcal{D}_{A_z}$ and consider the Hamiltonian functions*

$$\mathcal{H}_k(L_z) = \frac{1}{k} I\left(res(L_z^{k+1})\right) \ , \quad L_z \in \Psi(A_z)' = \Psi(A_z) \ , \tag{5.16}$$

on $\Psi(A_z)'$. The corresponding Hamiltonian equations of motion with respect to the $\{\ ,\ \}_0$ Poisson structure of $\Psi(A_z)'$ are

$$\frac{dL_z}{dt_k} = \left[(L_z^k)_+, L_z\right] \ . \tag{5.17}$$

As in the classical theory, see [11] or the more recent review [15], the Hamiltonian functions $\mathcal{H}_k$ furnish an infinite family of $\mathbb{R}[[z]]$-conservation laws for the flow of (5.17). We consider these perturbed solutions further in the final part of this work.

5.3. **The KP equation and perturbed solutions.** In this final subsection we study briefly solutions $\tilde{L} \in C^\infty(T, \Psi^1((R_z)_q))$ to (4.2) obtained in the second proof of Theorem 4.1. In order to avoid unnecessarily complicated notations, we write L instead of $\tilde{L}$, and we set $R_{z,q} = ((R_z)_q)$. We remark that the derivatives with respect to t_k are no longer derivatives in the t_k-variables of T-formal series, but derivatives of smooth functions. From the proofs developed in [11], we deduce:

Theorem 5.9. *The pseudo-differential operator L satisfies the system of partial differential equations*

$$\frac{\partial (L^i)_+}{\partial t_j} - \frac{\partial (L^j)_+}{\partial t_i} + \left[(L^i)_+, (L^j)_+\right] = 0 \ .$$

Then, fixing $j = 2$ and $i = 3$, we see that this equation reduces to the standard KP-I equation for $t_2 = y, t_3 = t$ as it appears in [11, 35] and also [34]:

$$\frac{3}{4}u_{yy} - \left(u_t - \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} - 3x_x u\right)_x = 0 \ .$$

We consider an initial condition

$$qL_0 = q(\partial + u_0\partial^{-1}) \ ,$$

where $u_0 \in R_z$, and then we get the total solution

$$L = \partial + u_{-1}\partial^{-1} + (\text{lower order terms}) \in \Psi^1(R_{z,q}) \ ,$$

such that $u_{-1} \in R_{z,q}$ is a solution of KP-I with initial condition $qu_0 \in qR_z$. We now assume that one of the following two conditions are fulfilled:

(1) $(x, y) \in \ ]-\pi;\pi]^2 \sim S^1\times]-\pi;\pi] \sim \mathbb{T}^2$, ∂_x, ∂_y are classical derivatives with respect to coordinates, and ∂_x^{-1} and ∂_y^{-1} are now well defined primitive maps. In this context, by expansion on (e.g. Fourier) series of a map $\phi \in C^\infty(\ ]-\pi;\pi], \mathbb{K})$, we obtain an element $u_0 \in R_z$ which converges to ϕ when $z \to 1$, uniformly on any compact subset of $]-\pi;\pi]$.

(2) $(x, y) \in \ ]-\pi;\pi] \times \ ]-\pi;\pi[\ \sim \ S^1\times \ ]-\pi;\pi[$ and x, y are respectively the horizontal and vertical coordinates of the Hopf fibration $S^2 \to S^1 \times [-\pi, \pi]$. In this case, the values of a function $\phi \in C^\infty(S^2, \mathbb{K})$ at antipodal points $y = -\pi$ and $y = \pi$ are left to characterization by a limit property, and this enables us to make the same expansions in e.g. Fourier series, as in (1). The operators $\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_x^{-1}, \partial_y^{-1}$ are defined coordinatewise.

The point we wish to make is that the very construction of the solution L above allows us to examine the solution $u := u_{-1}$ to the equation KP-I. Indeed, let us analyze how the operator S_0 of Theorem 4.5 is obtained from the operator $qL_0 = q(\partial + u_0\partial^{-1})$. Recall that in this case $\partial = \partial_x$. We change the pseudo-differential operator $L_0(\partial)$ into its symbol $L_0(\xi)$ in order to apply the formula (3.1) that we recall here:

$$P_\xi \circ Q_\xi = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{k!}\,\frac{\partial^k P_\xi}{\partial \xi^k}\,\partial^k Q_\xi \ .$$

We expand separately $S_0(\xi) \circ \xi = S_0\xi$ and $L_0(\xi) \circ S_0(\xi)$, where

$$S_0(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^*} s_{-n}\xi^{-n},$$

we get that

$$L_0(\xi) \circ S_0(\xi) = \xi S_0(\xi) + \partial S_0(\xi) + u_0 \sum_{k\geq 0} (-1)^k \xi^{-k-1}\partial^k S_0(\xi) \ ,$$

which gives the following:

$\xi-$order	$S_0(\xi)\xi$	$L_0(\xi) \circ S_0(\xi)$
1	ξ	ξ
0	u_{-1}	u_{-1}
-1	$u_{-2}\xi^{-1}$	$\xi^{-1}\left(u_{-1,x} + u_{-2} + u_0\right)$
-2	$u_{-3}\xi^{-2}$	$\xi^{-2}\left(u_{-2,x} + u_{-3} + u_0u_{-1}\right)$
(...)		
$-n$	$u_{-n-1}\xi^{-n}$	$\xi^{-n}\left(u_{-n,x} + u_{-n-1} + u_0\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^n(\partial_x^k u_{-n+k})\right)\right)$

These lines are understood as series of smooth functions defined on $]-\pi,\pi[$. The first two lines coincide, and the third line gives the condition

$$u_{-1,x} = -u_0$$

which is commented in e.g. [34] as the necessary condition

$$\exists \psi \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{K}), \quad u_0 = \psi_x$$

or, in other words,

$$\int_{S^1} u_0 = 0.$$

This restrictive condition is no longer necessary here, since we work on the open interval $]-\pi,\pi[$. The z-series u_{-1} does not need to converge to a smooth function on S^1.

Let us now study convergence of the solution $u = u_{-1}$ to KP-I. For this, we consider a locally convex topological vector space X spanned by a generating set of functions on $C^\infty(\,]-\pi,\pi[\,)$ which gives us the coefficients for the expansion of the z-series u_0, which we stabilize under multiplication. We also consider the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes X$ of smooth functions defined on $]-\pi,\pi[^2$, and we let Z be a complete locally convex topological vector space of maps $\mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{K}$ or $S^2 \to \mathbb{K}$. For example, Z can be:

- The algebraic space spanned by the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on T^2 (i.e. we consider Fourier series).

- The algebraic space spanned by compactly supported functions on the open interval, to which we add smooth functions which are polynomials on a neighborhood of π and $-\pi$ for $x \in]-\pi,\pi[$.

First, we remark that if the initial condition $u_0 = \phi \in C^\infty(\,]-\pi;\pi], \mathbb{K})$ is analytic, the expansion with respect to $X \otimes X$ is redundant, and we can only expand ϕ with respect to z. Under these conditions, we get a solution u_{-1} to KP-I in terms of a series in the variables (q,z). We suspect that this result has to be compared with the "meromorphic" solutions appearing in [47], where only one (complex) variable is required for solutions described therein.

Second, let us compare this result with [34], in which the initial value ϕ belongs to a subspace Z of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defined by estimates depending on known conservation laws (see p.257 in [34]), for which the $y-$behavior of ϕ cannot be deduced from its $x-$behavior. This suggests that the KP hierarchy cannot give all the solutions of the KP equation, but can only reach a restricted class of them, since we have stated uniqueness of the solution of the KP hierarchy with an initial value fully determined in the $x-$components, and with $y-$component obtained by integration of the hierarchy. We also remark the need of convergence in q and z when $(q,z) \to (1,1)$. Moreover, the conservation laws given by the Hamiltonian functions $\mathcal{H}_n$ will be invariant as series in $\mathbb{R}[[z,q]]$, whereas actually, to our knowledge, only a few conservation laws, described in [34], are true invariants for solutions of KP-I. This leads us to hypothesize on the existence of a possible equation, extending the KP hierarchy maybe in an "integrated" formulation, which could furnish a full description of all the solutions of the KP-I equation. In actual fact, there are already candidates for this hypothetical equation, as it is a known fact that the KP hierarchy may be seen as a special case of a self-dual Yang-Mills hierarchy, see [1, 45].

Let us finish with the remark that the above discussion is not restricted to KP-I. In fact, it follows from the interesting paper [13] and our previous remarks that the KP hierarchy furnishes only some of the solutions to the equation

$$w_{xxxy} + 3w_{xy}w_x + 3w_y w_{xx} + 2w_{yt} - 3w_{xz} = 0 \ ,$$

precisely the next equation after KP-I which can be deduced from this hierarchy. This situation thus seems to be a non-trivial instance of the well-known problem of differential inclusion, and this again is reason for us to suspect the existence of another equation, perhaps as the ones considered in [1, 45], for which the KP hierarchy would be a specialization.

Acknowledgements: Both authors have been partially supported by CONICYT (Chile) via the Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico operating grant # 1161691.

References

[1] Ablowitz, M; Chakravarty, S.; Takhtajan, L.A.; A Self-Dual Yang-Mills Hierarchy and its Reductions to Integrable Systems in 1 + 1 and 2 + 1 Dimensions; *Commun. Math. Phys.* 158 (1993), 289–314.

[2] Adams, M.; Ratiu, T.; Schmidt, R.; A Lie group structure for pseudo-differential operators; *Math. Annalen* **273**, no 4 (1986) 529-551

[3] Adams, M.; Ratiu, T.; Schmidt, R.; A Lie group structure for Fourier integral operators; *Math. Annalen* **276**, no.1 (1986), 19–41.

[4] Adler, M.; On a Trace Functional for Formal Pseudo-Differential Operators and the Symplectic Structure of the Korteweg-Devries Type Equations. *Inventiones math.* **50** (1979), 219–248.

[5] Batubenge, A.; Ntumba, P.; On the way to Frölicher Lie groups *Quaestionnes mathematicae* **28** no1 (2005), 73–93

[6] Beals, M. and Reed, M.; Microlocal regularity theorems for nonsmooth pseudodifferential operators and applications to nonlinear problems; *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285** (1984), 159-184.

[7] Bourbaki, N.; *Elements of Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. (1998)

[8] Christensen, D. and Wu, E.; Tangent spaces and tangent bundles for diffeological spaces, *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle* (to appear).

[9] Demidov, E.E.; On the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy with a noncommutative timespace. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **29** no. 2, (1995), 131–133.

[10] Demidov, E.E.; Noncommutative deformation of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy. In "Algebra. 5, Vseross. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform. (VINITI)", Moscow, 1995. (Russian). *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* **88** no. 4, (1998), 520–536 (English).

[11] Dickey, L.A.; *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems, second edition* (2003). Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics 12, World Scientific Publ. Co., Singapore.

[12] Dodson, C.; Galanis, G.; Vassiliou, E.; *Geometry in the Fréchet context: a projective limit approach* London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series **428**, Cambridge University Press (2015)

[13] Dorizzi, G.; Grammaticos, B.; Ramani, A.; Winternitz, P.; Are all the equations of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy integrable? *J. Math. Phys.* **27** (1986), 2848–2852.

[14] Dugmore, D.; Ntumba, P.;On tangent cones of Frölicher spaces *Quaetiones mathematicae* **30** no.1 (2007), 67–83.

[15] Eslami Rad, A.; Reyes, E. G.; The Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and the Mulase factorization of formal Lie groups *J. Geom. Mech.* **5**, no 3 (2013) 345–363.

[16] Frölicher, A; Kriegl, A; *Linear spaces and differentiation theory* Wiley series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley Interscience (1988)

[17] Galanis, G. and Vassiliou, E.; A generalized frame bundle for certain Fréchet vector bundles and linear connections. *Tokyo J. Math.* **20** no.1 (1997), 129–137.

[18] Gelfand, I.M.; Dorfman, I.Y.; Infinite dimensional operators and infinite dimensional Lie algebras *Funk. Anal. Priloz.* **15** (1981) 23–40.

[19] Glöckner, H; Algebras whose groups of the units are Lie groups *Studia Math.* **153**, no2 (2002), 147–177.
[20] Guieu, L. and Roger, C.; *L' Algèbre et le groupe de Virasoro: Aspects géométriques et algébraiques, generalisations*. Centre de Recherches Mathematiques, Université de Montreal, (2007).
[21] Iglesias-Zemmour, P.; *Diffeology* AMS mathematical monographs **185** (2013)
[22] Kriegl, A.; Michor, P.W.; *The convenient setting for global analysis* Math. surveys and monographs **53**, American Mathematical society, Providence, USA. (2000)
[23] Khesin, B.A. and Ovsienko, V.Y.; Symplectic leaves of the Gelfand-Dickii brackets and homotopy casses of non flattening curves. *Funk. Anal. Prihoz.* **24** no1 (1990), 38-47.
[24] Khesin, B.A. and Zakharevich, I.; Poisson-Lie groups of pseudodifferential symbols, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **171** no. 3 (1995), 475–530.
[25] Khesin, B.A. and Wendt, R.; "The geometry of infinite-dimensional groups" (2009). Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
[26] Kubo, F.; Non-commutative Poisson algebra structures on affine Kac-Moody algebras. *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **126** (1998), 267–286.
[27] Leslie, J.; On a Diffeological Group Realization of certain Generalized symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie Algebras *J. Lie Theory* **13** (2003), 427-442.
[28] Magnot, J-P.; Difféologie du fibré d'Holonomie en dimension infinie, *C. R. Math. Soc. Roy. Can.* **28** no4 (2006) 121-127.
[29] Magnot, J-P.; A non regular Frölicher Lie group of diffeomorphisms, 5 pages (preprint) `ArXiv:1101.2370v4`
[30] Magnot, J-P.; Ambrose-Singer theorem on diffeological bundles and complete integrability of KP equations. *Int. J. Geon. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **10**, no 9 (2013) Article ID 1350043.
[31] Magnot, J-P.; *q-deformed Lax equations and their differential geometric background*, Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrucken, Germany (2015).
[32] Marschall, J.; Pseudo-differential operators with coefficients in Sobolev spaces; *Trans. AMS* **307**, no1 (1988), 335-361.
[33] Marsden, J. and Ratiu, T.; "Introduction to mechanics and symmetry. A basic exposition of classical mechanical systems. Second edition" (1999). Texts in Applied Mathematics, 17. Springer-Verlag, New York.
[34] Molinet, L., Saut, J.C. and Tzvetkov, N.; Global well-posedness for the KP-I equation *Math. Ann.* **324** (2002), 255–275.
[35] Mulase, M.; Complete integrability of the Kadomtsev-Petvishvili equation. *Advances in Math.* **54** (1984), 57–66.
[36] Mulase, M.; Solvability of the super KP equation and a generalization of the Birkhoff decomposition. *Invent. Math.* **92** (1988), 1–46.
[37] Neeb, K-H.; Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups *Japanese J. Math.* **1** (2006), 291-468
[38] Olver, P.J.; "Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations" (1993). Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York.
[39] Omori, H.; *Infinite dimensional Lie groups* AMS translations of mathematical monographs **158** (1997)
[40] Omori, H.; Maeda, Y.; Yoshioka, A.; Kobayashi, O.; On regular Fréchet Lie groups IV ; *Tokyo J. Math.* **5** (1981), 365-397.
[41] Perelomov, A.M.; "Integrable systems of classical mechanics and Lie algebras" (1990) Birkhäuser Verlag, Berlin.
[42] Pressley, A. and Segal, G.B.; "Loop groups" (1986). Oxford University Press.
[43] Reyman, A.G. and Semenov-Tian-Shansky, M.A.; Reduction of Hamiltonian Systems, Affine Lie Algebras and Lax Equations II. *Invent. math.* **63** (1981), 423–432.
[44] Robart, T.; Sur l'intégrabilité des sous-algèbres de Lie en dimension infinie; *Can. J. Math.* **49** (4) (1997), 820-839.
[45] Schiff, J.; Self-Dual Yang-Mills and the Hamiltonian Structures of Integrable Systems. IAS preprint IASSNS-HEP-92/34. `ArXiv: hep-th/9211070`.
[46] Semenov-Tian-Shansky, M.A.; What is a classical r-matrix? *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **17** (1983), 259–272.
[47] Shiota, T.; Characterization of Jacobian varieties in terms of Soliton equations *Inv. Math.* **83** (1986), 333–382.

[48] Souriau, J.M.; Un algorithme générateur de structures quantiques; *Astérisque*, Hors Série, 341-399 (1985).
[49] Watanabe, Y.; Hamiltonian structure of Sato's hierarchy of KP equations and a coadjoint orbit of a certain formal Lie group. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **7** (1983), 99–106.
[50] Watanabe, Y.; Hamiltonian structure of M. Sato's hierarchy of Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **136** (1984), 77–93.
[51] Watts, J.; *Diffeologies, differentiable spaces and symplectic geometry.* University of Toronto, PhD thesis. arXiv:1208.3634v1.

[1]: Lycée Jeanne d'Arc, Avenue de Grande Bretagne, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

E-mail address: jean-pierr.magnot@ac-clermont.fr

[2]: Departamento de Matemática y Ciencia de la Computación, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Casilla 307 Correo 2, Santiago, Chile.

E-mail address: enrique.reyes@usach.cl; e_g_reyes@yahoo.ca